

1.1. Historical introduction

BY MOIS I. AROYO, ULRICH MÜLLER AND HANS WONDRATSCHEK

1.1.1. The fundamental laws of crystallography

The documentation concerning group-theoretical aspects of group–subgroup relations and the rising importance of these relations in three-dimensional crystallography is scattered widely in the literature. This short review, therefore, cannot be exhaustive and may even be unbalanced if the authors have missed essential sources. Not included here is the progress made in the general theory of crystallographic groups, *e.g.* in higher dimensions, which is connected with the names of the mathematicians and physicists Ascher, Brown, Janner, Janssen, Neubüser, Plesken, Zassenhaus and others. This volume A1 of *International Tables for Crystallography*, abbreviated *IT A1*, is concerned with objects belonging to the ‘classical’ theory of crystallographic groups.

For a long time, the objective of crystallography, as implied by the word itself, was the description of crystals which were found in nature or which grew from solutions of salts or from melts. Crystals often display more-or-less planar faces which are symmetrically equivalent or can be made so by parallel shifting of the faces along their normals such that they form a regular body. The existence of characteristic angles between crystal faces was observed by Niels Stensen in 1669. The importance of the shape of crystals and their regularity was supported by observations on the cleavage of crystals. It was in particular this regularity which attracted mineralogists, chemists, physicists and eventually mathematicians, and led to the establishment of the laws by which this regularity was governed. The *law of symmetry* and the *law of rational indices* were formulated by René Just Haüy around 1800. These studies were restricted to the shape of macroscopic crystals and their physical properties, because only these were accessible to measurements until the beginning of the twentieth century.

Later in the nineteenth century, interest turned to ‘regular systems’ of points, for which the arrangement of points around every point is the same. These were studied by Wiener (1863) and Sohncke (1879). For such sets, there are isometric mappings of any point onto any other point which are such that they map the whole set onto itself. The primary aim was the classification and listing of such regular systems, while the groups of isometries behind these systems were of secondary importance. These regular systems were at first the sets of crystal faces, of face normals of crystal faces and of directions in crystals which are equivalent with respect to the physical properties or the symmetry of the external shape of the crystal. The listing and the classification of these finite sets was based on the *law of rational indices* and resulted in the derivation of the 32 crystal classes of point groups by Moritz Ludwig Frankenheim in 1826, Johann Friedrich Christian Hessel in 1830 and Axel V. Gadolin in 1867, cited by Burckhardt (1988). The list of this classification contained crystal classes that had not been observed in any crystal and included group–subgroup relations implicitly.

Crystal cleavage led Haüy in 1784 to assume small parallel-epipeds as building blocks of crystals. In 1824, Ludwig August Seeber explained certain physical properties of crystals by placing molecules at the vertices of the parallelepipeds. The concepts of unit cell and of translation symmetry were thus introduced implicitly.

The classification of the 14 underlying lattices of translations was completed by Auguste Bravais (1850). In the second half of the nineteenth century, interest turned to the derivation and classification of *infinite* regular systems of points or figures, in particular by Leonhard Sohncke and Evgraf Stepanovich Fedorov. Sohncke, Fedorov (1891), Arthur Schoenflies (1891) and later William Barlow (1894) turned to the investigation of the underlying groups, the *space groups* and *plane groups*. The derivation and classification of these groups were completed in the early 1890s. It was a plausible hypothesis that the structures of crystals were related to combinations of regular systems of atoms (Haag, 1887) and that the symmetry of a crystal structure should be a space group, but both conjectures were speculations at that time with no experimental proof. This also applies to the atom packings described by Sohncke and Barlow, such as a model of the NaCl structure (which they did not assign to any substance).

1.1.2. Symmetry and crystal-structure determination

In 1895, Wilhelm Röntgen, then at the University of Würzburg, discovered what he called X-rays. Medical applications emerged the following year, but it was 17 years later that Max von Laue suggested at a scientific discussion in Munich that a crystal should be able to act as a diffraction grating for X-rays. Two young physicists, Walther Friedrich and Paul Knipping, successfully performed the experiment in May 1912 with a crystal of copper sulfate. In von Laue’s opinion, the experiment was so important that he later publicly donated one third of his 1914 Nobel prize to Friedrich and Knipping. The discovery immediately aroused the curiosity of father William Henry Bragg and son William Lawrence Bragg. The son’s experiments in Cambridge, initially with NaCl and KCl, led to the development of the Bragg equation and to the first crystal-structure determinations of diamond and simple inorganic materials. Since then, the determination of crystal structures has been an ever-growing enterprise.

The diffraction of X-rays by crystals is partly determined by the space group and partly by the relative arrangement of the atoms, *i.e.* by the atomic coordinates and the lattice parameters. The presentations by Fedorov and Schoenflies of the 230 space groups were not yet appropriate for use in structure determinations with X-rays. The breakthrough came with the fundamental book of Paul Niggli (1919), who described the space groups geometrically by symmetry elements and point positions and provided the first tables of what are now called *Wyckoff positions*. Niggli emphasized the importance of the multiplicity and site symmetry of the positions and demonstrated with examples the meaning of the *reflection conditions*.

Niggli’s book pointed the way. The publication of related tables by Ralph W. G. Wyckoff (1922) included diagrams of the unit cells with special positions and symmetry elements. Additional tables by Astbury & Yardley (1924) listed ‘abnormal spacings’ for the space groups, *i.e.* the reflection conditions. These tables made the concepts and the data of geometric crystallography widely available and were the basis for the series *Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen* (1935) (abbreviated as *IT 35*), *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Vol. I (1952,

1965, 1969) (abbreviated as *IT 52*) and *International Tables for Crystallography*, Vol. A (1983 and subsequent editions 1987, 1992, 1995, 2002) (abbreviated as *IT A*).

Group–subgroup relations were used in the original derivation of the space groups and plane groups. However, in the first decades of crystal-structure determinations, the derivation of geometric data (atomic coordinates) was of prime importance and the group-theoretical information in the publications was small, although implicitly present. With the growing number of crystal structures determined, however, it became essential to understand the rules and laws of crystal chemistry, to classify the incomprehensible set of structures into crystal-structure types, to develop methods for ordering the structure types in a systematic way, to show relations among them and to find common underlying principles.

To this end, different approaches were presented over time. By 1926, the number of crystal structures was already large enough for Viktor Moritz Goldschmidt to formulate basic principles of packing of atoms and ions in inorganic solids (Goldschmidt, 1926). Shortly afterwards, Linus Pauling (1928, 1929) formulated his famous rules about ionic radii, valence bonds, coordination polyhedra and the joining of these polyhedra. Later, Wilhelm Biltz (1934) focused attention on the volume requirements of atoms. Many other important factors determining crystal structures, such as chemical bonding, molecular shape, valence-electron concentration, electronic band structures, crystal-orbital overlap populations and others, have been the subject of subsequent studies. Each one of these aspects can serve as an ordering principle in crystal chemistry, giving insights from a different point of view.

For the aspects mentioned above, symmetry considerations are only secondary tools or even unimportant, and group–subgroup relations hardly play a role. Although symmetry is indispensable for the description of a specific crystal structure, for a long time crystal symmetry and the group–subgroup relations involved did not attract much attention as possible tools for working out the relations between crystal structures. This has even been the case for most textbooks on solid-state chemistry and physics. The lack of symmetry considerations is almost a characteristic feature of many of these books. There is a reason for this astonishing fact: the necessary group-theoretical material only became available in a useful form in 1965, namely as a listing of the maximal subgroups of all space groups by Neubüser & Wondratschek. However, for another 18 years this material was only distributed among interested scientists before it was finally included in the 1983 edition of *IT A*. And yet, even in the 2002 edition, the listing of the subgroups in Volume A is incomplete. It is this present Volume A1 which now contains the complete listing.

1.1.3. Development of the theory of group–subgroup relations

The systematic survey of group–subgroup relations of space groups started with the fundamental publication by Carl Hermann (1929). This paper is the last in a series of four publications, dealing with

- (I) a nomenclature of the space-group types, a predecessor of the Hermann–Mauguin nomenclature;
- (II) a method for the derivation of the 230 space-group types which is related to the nomenclature in (I);
- (III) the derivation of the 75 types of rod groups and the 80 types of layer groups; and
- (IV) the subgroups of space groups.

In paper (IV), Hermann introduced two distinct kinds of subgroups. The *translationengleiche* subgroups of a space group \mathcal{G} have retained all translations of \mathcal{G} but belong to a crystal class of

lower symmetry than the crystal class of \mathcal{G} (Hermann used the term *zellengleiche* instead of *translationengleiche*, see the footnote on page 17). The *klassengleiche* subgroups are those which belong to the same crystal class as \mathcal{G} , but have lost translations compared with \mathcal{G} . General subgroups are those which have lost translations as well as crystal-class symmetry. Hermann proved the theorem, later called *Hermann's theorem*, that any general subgroup is a *klassengleiche* subgroup of a uniquely determined *translationengleiche* subgroup of \mathcal{G} . In particular, this implies that a *maximal* subgroup of \mathcal{G} is either a *translationengleiche* subgroup or a *klassengleiche* subgroup of \mathcal{G} .

Because of the strong relation (*homomorphism*) between a space group \mathcal{G} and its point group $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$, the set of *translationengleiche* subgroups of \mathcal{G} is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of subgroups of the point group $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$. The crystallographic point groups are groups of maximal order 48 with well known group–subgroup relations and with not more than 96 subgroups. Thus, the maximal *translationengleiche* subgroups of any space group \mathcal{G} can be obtained easily by comparison with the subgroups of its point group $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$. The kind of derivation of the space-group types by H. Heesch (1930) also gives access to *translationengleiche* subgroups. In *IT 35*, the types of the *translationengleiche* subgroups were listed for each space group [for a list of corrections to these data, see Ascher *et al.* (1969)]. A graph of the group–subgroup relations between the crystallographic point groups can also be found in *IT 35*; the corresponding graphs for the space groups were published by Ascher (1968). In these lists and graphs the subgroups are given only by their types, not individually.

The group–subgroup relations between the space groups were first applied in Vol. 1 of *Strukturbericht* (1931). In this volume, a crystal structure is described by the coordinates of the atoms, but the space-group symmetry is stated not only for spherical particles but also for molecules or ions with lower symmetry. Such particles may reduce the site symmetry and with it the space-group symmetry to that of a subgroup. In addition, the symmetry reduction that occurs if the particles are combined into larger structural units is stated. The listing of these detailed data was discontinued both in the later volumes of *Strukturbericht* and in the series *Structure Reports*. Meanwhile, experience had shown that there is no point in assuming a lower symmetry of the crystal structure if the geometrical arrangement of the centres of the particles does not indicate it.

With time, not only the classification of the crystal structures but also a growing number of investigations of (continuous) phase transitions increased the demand for data on subgroups of space groups. Therefore, when the Executive Committee of the International Union of Crystallography decided to publish a new series of *International Tables for Crystallography*, an extension of the subgroup data was planned. Stimulated and strongly supported by the mathematician J. Neubüser, the systematic derivation of the subgroups of the plane groups and the space groups began. The listing was restricted to the maximal subgroups of each space group, because any subgroup of a space group can be obtained by a chain of maximal subgroups.

The derivation by Neubüser & Wondratschek started in 1965 with the *translationengleiche* subgroups of the space groups, because the complete set of these (maximally 96) subgroups could be calculated by computer. All *klassengleiche* subgroups of indices 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 9 were also obtained by computer. As the index of a maximal non-isomorphic subgroup of a space group is restricted to 2, 3 or 4, *all* maximal non-isomorphic subgroups of all space groups were contained in the computer outputs. First results and their application to relations between crystal

1. SPACE GROUPS AND THEIR SUBGROUPS

structures are found in Neubüser & Wondratschek (1966). In the early tables, the subgroups were only listed by their types. For *International Tables*, an extended list of maximal non-isomorphic subgroups was prepared. For each space group the maximal *translationengleiche* subgroups and those maximal *klassengleiche* subgroups for which the reduction of the translations could be described as ‘loss of centring translations’ of a centred lattice are listed individually. For the other maximal *klassengleiche* subgroups, *i.e.* those for which the conventional unit cell of the subgroup is larger than that of the original space group, the description by type was retained, because the individual subgroups of this kind were not completely known in 1983. The deficiency of such a description becomes clear if one realizes that a listed subgroup type may represent 1, 2, 3, 4 or even 8 individual subgroups.

In the present Volume A1, *all* maximal non-isomorphic subgroups are listed individually, in Chapter 2.2 for the plane groups and in Chapters 2.3 and 3.2 for the space groups. In addition, graphs for the *translationengleiche* subgroups (Chapter 2.4) and for the *klassengleiche* subgroups (Chapter 2.5) supplement the tables. After several rounds of checking by hand and after comparison with other listings, *e.g.* those by H. Zimmermann (unpublished) or by Neubüser and Eick (unpublished), intensive computer checking of the hand-typed data was carried out by F. Gähler as described in Chapter 1.4.

The mathematician G. Nebe describes general viewpoints and new results in the theory of subgroups of space groups in Chapter 1.5.

The maximal *isomorphic* subgroups are a special subset of the maximal *klassengleiche* subgroups. Maximal isomorphic subgroups are treated separately because each space group \mathcal{G} has an infinite number of maximal isomorphic subgroups and, in contrast to non-isomorphic subgroups, there is no limit for the index of a maximal isomorphic subgroup of \mathcal{G} .

An *isomorphic subgroup* of a space group seems to have first been described in a crystal–chemical relation when the crystal structure of Sb_2ZnO_6 (structure type of tapiolite, Ta_2FeO_6) was determined by Byström *et al.* (1941): ‘If no distinction is drawn between zinc and antimony, this structure appears as three cassiterite-like units stacked end-on-end’ (Wyckoff, 1965). The space group of Sb_2ZnO_6 is a maximal isomorphic subgroup of index 3 with $\mathbf{c}' = 3\mathbf{c}$ of the space group $P4_2/mnm$ (D_{4h}^{14} , No. 136) of cassiterite SnO_2 (rutile type).

The first systematic study attempting to enumerate all isomorphic subgroups (not just maximal ones) for each space-group type was by Billiet (1973). However, the listing was incomplete and, moreover, in the case of enantiomorphic pairs of space-group types, only those with the same space-group symbol (called *isosymbolic space groups*) were taken into account.

Sayari (1976) derived the conventional bases for all maximal isomorphic subgroups of all plane groups. The general laws of number theory which underlie these results for plane-group types $p4$, $p3$ and $p6$ and space-group types derived from point groups 4 , $\bar{4}$, $4/m$, 3 , $\bar{3}$, 6 , $\bar{6}$ and $6/m$ were published by Müller & Brelle (1995). Bertaut & Billiet (1979) suggested a new analytical approach for the derivation of all isomorphic subgroups of space and plane groups.

Because of the infinite number of maximal isomorphic subgroups, only a few representatives of lowest index are listed in *IT A* with their lattice relations but without origin specification, *cf.* *IT A* (2002), Section 2.2.15.2. Part 13 of *IT A* (Billiet & Bertaut, 2002) is fully devoted to isomorphic subgroups, *cf.* also Billiet (1980) and Billiet & Sayari (1984).

In this volume, all maximal isomorphic subgroups are listed as members of infinite series, where each individual subgroup is specified by its index, its generators and the coordinates of its conventional origin as parameters.

The relations between a space group and its subgroups become more transparent if they are considered in connection with their normalizers in the affine group \mathcal{A} and the Euclidean group \mathcal{E} (Koch, 1984). Even the corresponding normalizers of Hermann’s group \mathcal{M} play a role in these relations, *cf.* Wondratschek & Aroyo (2001).

In addition to subgroup data, supergroup data are listed in *IT A*. If \mathcal{H} is a maximal subgroup of \mathcal{G} , then \mathcal{G} is a minimal supergroup of \mathcal{H} . In *IT A*, the type of a space group \mathcal{G} is listed as a minimal non-isomorphic supergroup of \mathcal{H} if \mathcal{H} is listed as a maximal non-isomorphic subgroup of \mathcal{G} . Thus, for each space group \mathcal{H} one can find in the tables the types of those groups \mathcal{G} for which \mathcal{H} is listed as a maximal subgroup. The supergroup data of *IT A* 1 are similarly only an inversion of the subgroup data.

1.1.4. Applications of group–subgroup relations

Phase transitions. In 1937, Landau introduced the idea of the *order parameter* for the description of *second-order phase transitions* (Landau, 1937). Landau theory has turned out to be very useful in the understanding of phase transitions and related phenomena. Such a transition can only occur if there is a group–subgroup relation between the space groups of the two crystal structures. Often only the space group of one phase is known (usually the high-temperature phase) and subgroup relations help to eliminate many groups as candidates for the unknown space group of the other phase. Landau & Lifshitz (1980) examined the importance of group–subgroup relations further and formulated two theorems regarding the index of the group–subgroup pair. The significance of the subgroup data in second-order phase transitions was also pointed out by Ascher (1966, 1967), who formulated the *maximal-subgroup rule*: ‘The symmetry group of a phase that arises in a ferroelectric transition is a maximal polar subgroup of the group of the high-temperature phase.’ There are analogous applications of the maximal-subgroup rule (with appropriate modifications) to other types of continuous transitions.

The group-theoretical aspects of Landau theory have been worked out in great detail with major contributions by Birman (1966*a,b*), Cracknell (1975), Stokes & Hatch (1988), Tolédano & Tolédano (1987) and many others. For example, Landau theory gives additional criteria based on thermodynamic arguments for second-order phase transitions. The general statements are reformulated into group-theoretical rules which permit a phase-transition analysis without the tedious algebraic treatment involving high-order polynomials. The necessity of having complete subgroup data for the space groups for the successful implementation of these rules was stated by Deonarine & Birman (1983): ‘... there is a need for tables yielding for each of the 230 three-dimensional space groups a complete lattice of decomposition of all its subgroups.’ Domain-structure analysis (Janovec & Přivratská, 2003) and symmetry-mode analysis (Aroyo & Perez-Mato, 1998) are further aspects of phase-transition problems where group–subgroup relations between space groups play an essential role. Domain structures are also considered in Section 1.2.7.

In treating successive phase transitions within Landau theory, Levanyuk & Sannikov (1971) introduced the idea of a hypothetical parent phase whose symmetry group is a supergroup of the observed (initial) space group. Moreover, the detection

1.1. HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION

of pseudosymmetries is necessary for the prediction of higher-temperature phase transitions, *cf.* Kroumova *et al.* (2002) and references therein.

In a reconstructive phase transition, there is no group–subgroup relation between the symmetries of the two structures. Nevertheless, it has been pointed out that the ‘transition path’ between the two structures may involve an intermediate unstable structure whose space group is a common subgroup of the space groups of the two phases (Sowa, 2001; Stokes & Hatch, 2002).

Overlooked symmetry. In recent times, the number of crystal-structure determinations with a wrongly assigned space group has been increasing (Baur & Kassner, 1992; Marsh *et al.*, 2002). Frequently, space groups with too low a symmetry have been chosen. In most cases, the correct space group is a supergroup of the space group that has been assigned. A criterion for the correct assignment of the space group is given by Fischer & Koch (1983). Computer packages for treating the problem can be made more efficient if the possible supergroups are known.

Twinning can also lead to a wrong space-group assignment if it is not recognized, as a twinned crystal can feign a higher or lower symmetry. The true space group of the correct structure is usually a supergroup or subgroup of the space group that has been assumed (Nespolo & Ferraris, 2004).

Relations between crystal structures. Working out relations between different crystal structures with the aid of crystallographic group–subgroup relations was systematically developed by Bärnighausen (1980). The work became more widely known through a number of courses taught in Germany and Italy from 1976 to 1996 (in 1984 as a satellite meeting to the Congress of the

International Union of Crystallography). For a script of the 1992 course, see Chapuis (1992). The basic ideas can also be found in the textbook by Müller (1993).

According to Bärnighausen, a family tree of group–subgroup relations is set up. At the top of the tree is the space group of a simple, highly symmetrical structure, called the *aristotype* by Megaw (1973) or the *basic structure* by Buerger (1947, 1951). The space groups of structures resulting from distortions or atomic substitutions (the *hettotypes* or *derivative structures*) are subgroups of the space group of the aristotype. Apart from many smaller Bärnighausen trees, some trees that interrelate large numbers of crystal structures have been published, *cf.* Section 1.3.1. Such trees may even include structures as yet unknown, *i.e.* the symmetry relations can also serve to predict new structure types that are derived from the aristotype; in addition, the number of such structure types can be calculated for each space group of the tree (McLarnan, 1981*a,b,c*; Müller, 1992, 1998, 2003).

Setting up a Bärnighausen tree not only requires one to find the group–subgroup relations between the space groups involved. It also requires there to be an exact correspondence between the atomic positions of the crystal structures considered. For a given structure, each atomic position belongs to a certain Wyckoff position of the space group. Upon transition to a subgroup, the Wyckoff position will or will not split into different Wyckoff positions of the subgroup. With the growing number of applications of group–subgroup relations there had been an increasing demand for a list of the relations of the Wyckoff positions for every group–subgroup pair. These listings are accordingly presented in Part 3 of this volume.

References

- Aroyo, M. I. & Perez-Mato, J. M. (1998). *Symmetry-mode analysis of displacive phase transitions using International Tables for Crystallography*. *Acta Cryst.* **A54**, 19–30.
- Ascher, E. (1966). *Role of particular maximal subgroups in continuous phase transitions*. *Phys. Lett.* **20**, 352–354.
- Ascher, E. (1967). *Symmetry changes in continuous transitions. A simplified theory applied to V_3Si* . *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1**, 69–72.
- Ascher, E. (1968). *Lattices of equi-translation subgroups of the space groups*. Geneva: Battelle Institute.
- Ascher, E., Gramlich, V. & Wondratschek, H. (1969). *Corrections to the sections 'Untergruppen' of the space groups in Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen (1935) Vol. I*. *Acta Cryst.* **B25**, 2154–2156.
- Astbury, W. T. & Yardley, K. (1924). *Tabulated data for the examination of the 230 space-groups by homogeneous X-rays*. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London*, **224**, 221–257.
- Barlow, W. (1894). *Über die geometrischen Eigenschaften homogener starrer Strukturen*. *Z. Kristallogr. Mineral.* **23**, 1–63.
- Bärnighausen, H. (1975). *Group-subgroup relations between space groups as an ordering principle in crystal chemistry: the "family tree" of perovskite-like structures*. *Acta Cryst.* **A31**, part S3, S3, 01.1-9.
- Bärnighausen, H. (1980). *Group-subgroup relations between space groups: a useful tool in crystal chemistry*. *MATCH Comm. Math. Chem.* **9**, 139–175.
- Baur, W. H. (1994). *Rutile type derivatives*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **209**, 143–150.
- Baur, W. H. & Kassner, D. (1992). *The perils of Cc: comparing the frequencies of falsely assigned space groups with their general population*. *Acta Cryst.* **B48**, 356–369.
- Baur, W. H. & McLarnan, T. J. (1982). *Observed wurtzite derivatives and related tetrahedral structures*. *J. Solid State Chem.* **42**, 300–321.
- Bertaut, E. F. & Billiet, Y. (1979). *On equivalent subgroups and supergroups of the space groups*. *Acta Cryst.* **A35**, 733–745.
- Billiet, Y. (1973). *Les sous-groupes isosymboliques des groupes spatiaux*. *Bull. Soc. Fr. Minéral. Cristallogr.* **96**, 327–334.
- Billiet, Y. (1980). *The subgroups of finite index of the space groups: determination via conventional coordinate systems*. *MATCH Comm. Math. Chem.* **9**, 127–190.
- Billiet, Y. & Bertaut, E. F. (2002). *Isomorphic subgroups of space groups*. *International Tables for Crystallography*, Vol. A, *Space-group symmetry*, edited by Th. Hahn, Part 13. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Billiet, Y. & Rolley Le Coz, M. (1980). *Le groupe $P1$ et ses sous-groupes. II. Tables des sous-groupes*. *Acta Cryst.* **A36**, 242–248.
- Billiet, Y. & Sayari, A. (1984). *Les sous-groupes isomorphes d'un group d'espace de type $p4$. I. Détermination univoque*. *Acta Cryst.* **A40**, 624–631.
- Billiet, Y., Sayari, A. & Zarrouk, H. (1978). *L'association des familles de Wyckoff dans les changements de repère conventionnel des groupes spatiaux et les passages aux sous-groupes spatiaux*. *Acta Cryst.* **A34**, 811–819.
- Biltz, W. (1934). *Raumchemie der festen Stoffe*. Leipzig: L. Voss.
- Birman, J. L. (1966a). *Full group and subgroup methods in crystal physics*. *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 771–782.
- Birman, J. L. (1966b). *Simplified theory of symmetry change in second-order phase transitions: application to V_3Si* . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1216–1219.
- Bock, O. & Müller, U. (2002a). *Symmetrieverwandtschaften bei Varianten des Perowskit-Typs*. *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 594–606.
- Bock, O. & Müller, U. (2002b). *Symmetrieverwandtschaften bei Varianten des ReO_3 -Typs*. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **628**, 987–992.
- Boyle, L. L. & Lawrenson, J. E. (1973). *The origin dependence of the Wyckoff site description of a crystal structure*. *Acta Cryst.* **A29**, 353–357.
- Bravais, A. (1850). *Mémoire sur les systèmes formés par les points distribués régulièrement sur un plan ou dans l'espace*. *J. Ecole Polytech.* **19**, 1–128. (English: Memoir 1, Crystallographic Society of America, 1949.)
- Brown, H., Bülow, R., Neubüser, J., Wondratschek, H. & Zassenhaus, H. (1978). *Crystallographic groups of four-dimensional space*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Buerger, M. J. (1947). *Derivative crystal structures*. *J. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 1–16.
- Buerger, M. J. (1951). *Phase transformations in solids*, ch. 6. New York: Wiley.
- Burckhardt, J. J. (1988). *Die Symmetrie der Kristalle*. Basel: Birkhäuser.
- Byström, A., Hök, B. & Mason, B. (1941). *The crystal structure of zinc metaantimonate and similar compounds*. *Ark. Kemi Mineral. Geol.* **15B**, 1–8.
- Chapuis, G. C. (1992). *Symmetry relationships between crystal structures and their practical applications. Modern perspectives in inorganic chemistry*, edited by E. Parthé, pp. 1–16. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Cracknell, A. P. (1975). *Group theory in solid state physics*. New York: Taylor and Francis Ltd. and Pergamon.
- Deonarine, S. & Birman, J. L. (1983). *Group-subgroup phase transitions, Hermann's space group decomposition theorem, and chain subduction criterion in crystals*. *Phys. Rev. B*, **27**, 4261–4273.
- Eick, B., Gähler, F. & Nickel, W. (1997). *Computing maximal subgroups and Wyckoff positions of space groups*. *Acta Cryst.* **A53**, 467–474.
- Eick, B., Gähler, F. & Nickel, W. (2001). *The 'Cryst' Package*. Version 4.1. <http://www.itap.physik.uni-stuttgart.de/~gaehler/gap/packages.html>.
- Fedorov, E. (1891). *Symmetry of regular systems and figures*. *Zap. Mineral. Obshch.* (2), **28**, 1–46. (In Russian.) (English: *Symmetry of crystals*, American Crystallographic Association, 1971.)
- Finken, H., Neubüser, J. & Plesken, W. (1980). *Space groups and groups of prime power order II*. *Arch. Math.* **35**, 203–209.
- Fischer, W. & Koch, E. (1983). *On the equivalence of point configurations due to Euclidean normalizers (Cheshire groups) of space groups*. *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 907–915.
- Flack, H. D., Wondratschek, H., Hahn, Th. & Abrahams, S. C. (2000). *Symmetry elements in space groups and point groups. Addenda to two IUCr reports on the nomenclature of symmetry*. *Acta Cryst.* **A56**, 96–98.
- Goldschmidt, V. M. (1926). *Untersuchungen über den Bau und Eigenschaften von Kristallen*. *Skr. Nor. Vidensk. Akad. Oslo Mat.-Nat. Kl.* 1926 No. 2 and 1927 No. 8.
- Haag, F. (1887). *Die regulären Kristallkörper. Programm des Königlichen Gymnasiums in Rottweil zum Schlusse des Schuljahres 1886–1887*.
- Hahn, Th. & Wondratschek, H. (1994). *Symmetry of crystals. Introduction to International Tables for Crystallography*, Vol. A. Sofia: Heron Press.
- Heesch, H. (1930). *Zur systematischen Strukturtheorie. II*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **72**, 177–201.
- Hermann, C. (1929). *Zur systematischen Strukturtheorie. IV. Untergruppen*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **69**, 533–555.
- International Tables for Crystallography* (1983). Vol. A, *Space-group symmetry*, edited by Th. Hahn, 1st ed. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. (Abbreviated *IT A*.)
- International Tables for Crystallography* (2002). Vol. A, *Space-group symmetry*, edited by Th. Hahn, 5th ed. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. (Abbreviated *IT A*.)
- International Tables for Crystallography* (2002). Vol. E, *Subperiodic groups*, edited by V. Kopský & D. B. Litvin. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1952, 1965, 1969). Vol. I, *Symmetry groups*, edited by N. F. M. Henry & K. Lonsdale. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen* (1935). 1. Bd. Edited by C. Hermann. Berlin: Borntraeger. (In German, English and French.) (Abbreviated *IT 35*.)
- Izumov, Y. A. & Syromyatnikov, V. N. (1990). *Phase transitions and crystal symmetry*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Janovec, V. (1976). *A symmetry approach to domain structures*. *Ferroelectrics*, **12**, 43–53.

REFERENCES

- Janovec, V. & Přívratská, J. (2003). *Domain structures. International tables for crystallography*, Vol. D, edited by A. Authier, *Physical properties of crystals*, ch. 3.4. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Koch, E. (1984). *The implications of normalizers on group-subgroup relations between space groups*. *Acta Cryst.* **A40**, 593–600.
- Koch, E. & Fischer, W. (1975). *Automorphismengruppen von Raumgruppen und die Zuordnung von Punktlagen zu Konfigurationslagen*. *Acta Cryst.* **A31**, 88–95.
- Koch, E. & Fischer, W. (1985). *Lattice complexes and limiting complexes versus orbit types and non-characteristic orbits: a comparative discussion*. *Acta Cryst.* **A41**, 421–426.
- Koch, E., Fischer, W. & Müller, U. (2002). *Normalizers of space groups and their use in crystallography. International tables for crystallography*, Vol. A, *Space-group symmetry*, edited by Th. Hahn, Part 15. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Kroumova, E., Aroyo, M. I. & Pérez-Mato, J. M. (2002). *Prediction of new displacive ferroelectrics through systematic pseudosymmetry search. Results for materials with Pba2 and Pmc2₁ symmetry*. *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 921–933.
- Kroumova, E., Perez-Mato, J. M. & Aroyo, M. I. (1998). *WYCKSPLIT. Computer program for the determination of the relations of Wyckoff positions for a group-subgroup pair*. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **31**, 646. Accessible at: <http://www.cryst.ehu.es/cryst/wpsplit.html>.
- Lampart, L. (1994). *A document preparation system*. 2nd ed. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Landau, L. D. (1937). *Theory of phase transitions*. *Zh. Eksp. Teoret. Fiz.* **7**, pp. 19–32, 627–636. (In Russian.) (German: *Phys. Z. Sowjetunion*, **11**, pp. 20–47, 545–555.)
- Landau, L. D. & Lifshitz, E. M. (1980). *Statistical physics*, Part 1, 3rd ed., pp. 459–471. London: Pergamon. (Russian: *Statisticheskaya Fizika*, chast 1. Moskva: Nauka, 1976; German: *Lehrbuch der theoretischen Physik*, 6. Aufl., Bd. 5, Teil 1, S. 436–447. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1984.)
- Lawrenson, J. E. (1972). *Theoretical studies in crystallography*. Dissertation, University of Kent at Canterbury.
- Ledermann, W. (1976). *Introduction to group theory*. London: Longman. (German: *Einführung in die Gruppentheorie*, Braunschweig: Vieweg, 1977.)
- Levanyuk, A. P. & Sannikov, D. G. (1971). *Phenomenological theory of dielectric anomalies in ferroelectric materials with several phase transitions at temperatures close together*. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **11**, 600–604.
- McLarnan, T. J. (1981a). *Mathematical tools for counting polytypes*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **155**, 227–245.
- McLarnan, T. J. (1981b). *The numbers of polytypes in close packings and related structures*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **155**, 269–291.
- McLarnan, T. J. (1981c). *The combinatorics of cation-deficient close-packed structures*. *J. Solid State Chem.* **26**, 235–244.
- Marsh, R. E., Kapon, M., Hu, S. & Herbstein, F. H. (2002). *Some 60 new space-group corrections*. *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 62–77.
- Megaw, H. D. (1973). *Crystal structures: A working approach*. Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Meyer, A. (1981). *Symmetriebeziehungen zwischen Kristallstrukturen des Formeltyps AX₂, ABX₄ und AB₂X₆ sowie deren Ordnungs- und Leerstellenvarianten*. Dissertation, Universität Karlsruhe.
- Müller, U. (1978). *Strukturmöglichkeiten für Pentahalogenide mit Doppeloktaeder-Molekülen (MX₅)₂ bei dichtester Packung der Halogenatome*. *Acta Cryst.* **A34**, 256–267.
- Müller, U. (1980). *Strukturverwandtschaften unter den EPh₄⁺-Salzen*. *Acta Cryst.* **B36**, 1075–1081.
- Müller, U. (1981). *MX₄-Ketten aus kantenverknüpften Oktaedern: mögliche Kettenkonfigurationen und mögliche Kristallstrukturen*. *Acta Cryst.* **B37**, 532–545.
- Müller, U. (1986). *MX₅-Ketten aus eckenverknüpften Oktaedern. Mögliche Kettenkonfigurationen und mögliche Kristallstrukturen bei dichtester Packung der X-Atome*. *Acta Cryst.* **B42**, 557–564.
- Müller, U. (1992). *Berechnung der Anzahl möglicher Strukturtypen für Verbindungen mit dichtest gepackter Anionenteilstruktur. I. Das Rechenverfahren*. *Acta Cryst.* **B48**, 172–178.
- Müller, U. (1993). *Inorganic structural chemistry*, pp. 233–246. Chichester, New York: Wiley. (German: *Anorganische Strukturchemie*, 3. Aufl., 1996, S. 296–309. Stuttgart: Teubner.)
- Müller, U. (1998). *Strukturverwandtschaften zwischen trigonalen Verbindungen mit hexagonal-dichtester Anionenteilstruktur und besetzten Oktaederlücken*. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **624**, 529–532.
- Müller, U. (2002). *Kristallpackungen mit linear koordinierten Atomen*. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **628**, 1269–1278.
- Müller, U. (2003). *Die Zahl der Substitutions- und Leerstellenvarianten des NaCl-Typs bei verdoppelter Elementarzelle (a, b, 2c)*. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **629**, 487–492.
- Müller, U. & Brelle, A. (1995). *Über isomorphe Untergruppen von Raumgruppen der Kristallklassen 4, $\bar{4}$, 4/m, 3, $\bar{3}$, 6, $\bar{6}$ und 6/m*. *Acta Cryst.* **A51**, 300–304.
- Nespolo, M. & Ferraris, G. (2004). *Applied geminography – symmetry analysis of twinned crystals and definition of twinning by reticular polyhohedry*. *Acta Cryst.* **A60**, 89–95.
- Neubüser, J. & Wondratschek, H. (1966). *Untergruppen der Raumgruppen*. *Krist. Tech.* **1**, 529–543.
- Niggli, P. (1919). *Geometrische Kristallographie des Diskontinuums*. Leipzig: Gebrüder Borntraeger. Reprint (1973) Wiesbaden: Dr M. Saendig.
- Nolze, G. (1996). *POWDER CELL. Computer program for the calculation of X-ray powder diagrams*. Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung, Berlin.
- Pauling, L. (1928). *The coordination theory of the structure of ionic compounds – Probleme der modernen Physik (Sommerfeld-Festschrift)*, p. 11. Leipzig: S. Hirzel.
- Pauling, L. (1929). *The principles determining the structures of complex ionic crystals*. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **51**, 1010–1026.
- Plesken, W. & Schulz, T. (2000). *Counting crystallographic groups in low dimensions*. *Exp. Math.* **9**, 407–411.
- Pöttgen, R. & Hoffmann, R.-D. (2001). *AlB₂-related intermetallic compounds – a comprehensive view based on a group-subgroup scheme*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **216**, 127–145.
- Salje, E. K. H. (1990). *Phase transitions in ferroelastic and co-elastic crystals*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sayari, A. (1976). *Contribution à l'étude des groupes d'espace bidimensionnels, dérivation des sous-groupes et des surstructures*. Thesis, University of Tunis, Tunisia.
- Sayari, A. & Billiet, Y. (1977). *Subgroups and changes of standard settings of triclinic and monoclinic space groups*. *Acta Cryst.* **A33**, 985–986.
- Schoenflies, A. M. (1891). *Krystallsysteme und Krystallstruktur*. Leipzig: Teubner. Reprint 1984: Springer.
- Sohncke, L. (1879). *Entwicklung einer Theorie der Krystallstruktur*. Leipzig: Teubner.
- Sowa, H. (2001). *On the transition from the wurtzite to the NaCl type*. *Acta Cryst.* **A57**, 176–182.
- Stokes, H. T. & Hatch, D. M. (1988). *Isotropy subgroups of the 230 crystallographic space groups*. Singapore: World Scientific.
- Stokes, H. T. & Hatch, D. M. (2002). *Procedure for obtaining microscopic mechanisms of reconstructive phase transitions in crystalline solids*. *Phys. Rev. B*, **65**, 144114–144123.
- Strukturbericht 1913–1928* (1931). Edited by P. P. Ewald & C. Hermann. Leipzig: Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft. Continued by *Structure Reports*.
- Tendeloo, G. van & Amelinckx, S. (1974). *Group-theoretical considerations concerning domain formation in ordered alloys*. *Acta Cryst.* **A30**, 431–440.
- The GAP Group (2002). *GAP – Groups, Algorithms and Programming*. Version 4.3. <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- Tolédano, J.-C., Janovec, V., Kopský, V., Scott, J.-F. & Boček, P. (2003). *Structural phase transitions. International tables for crystallography*, Vol. D, *Physical properties of crystals*, edited by A. Authier, ch. 3.1. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Tolédano, J.-C. & Tolédano, P. (1987). *The Landau theory of phase transitions*. Singapore: World Scientific.
- Wiener, C. (1863). *Grundzüge der Weltordnung. I. Atomlehre*, p. 82. Leipzig: Heidelberg.
- Wondratschek, H. (1976). *Extraordinary orbits of space groups. Theoretical considerations*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **143**, 460–470.
- Wondratschek, H. (1980). *Crystallographic orbits, lattice complexes, and orbit types*. *MATCH Comm. Math. Chem.* **9**, 121–125.

REFERENCES

- Wondratschek, H. (1993). *Splitting of Wyckoff positions (orbits)*. *Mineral. Petrol.* **48**, 87–96.
- Wondratschek, H. (2002). *Special topics on space groups*. *International tables for crystallography*, Vol. A, *Space-group symmetry*, edited by Th. Hahn, Part 8. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Wondratschek, H. & Aroyo, M. I. (2001). *The application of Hermann's group \mathcal{M} in group–subgroup relations between space groups*. *Acta Cryst.* **A57**, 311–320.
- Wondratschek, H. & Jeitschko, W. (1976). *Twin domains and antiphase domains*. *Acta Cryst.* **A32**, 664–666.
- Wondratschek, H., Müller, U., Aroyo, M. I. & Sens, I. (1995). *Splitting of Wyckoff positions (orbits)*. II. *Group–subgroup chains of index 6*. *Z. Kristallogr.* **210**, 567–573.
- Wyckoff, R. W. G. (1922). *The analytical expression of the results of the theory of space groups*. Washington: Carnegie Institution.
- Wyckoff, R. W. G. (1965). *Crystal structures*, 2nd ed., Vol. 3, pp. 361–362. New York: Interscience.