

## 2.1. Statistical properties of the weighted reciprocal lattice

BY U. SHMUELI AND A. J. C. WILSON

### 2.1.1. Introduction

The structure factor of the  $hkl$  reflection is given by

$$F(hkl) = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \exp[2\pi i(hx_j + ky_j + lz_j)], \quad (2.1.1.1)$$

where  $f_j$  is the atomic scattering factor [complex if there is appreciable dispersion; see Chapter 1.2 and *IT C* (1999, Section 4.2.6)],  $x_j, y_j, z_j$  are the fractional coordinates of the  $j$ th atom and  $N$  is the number of atoms in the unit cell. The present chapter is concerned with the statistical properties of the structure factor  $F$  and the intensity  $I = FF^*$ , such as their average values, variances, higher moments and their probability density distributions.

Equation (2.1.1.1) expresses  $F$  as a function of two conceptually different sets of variables:  $hkl$  taking on integral values in reciprocal space and  $xyz$  in general having non-integral values in direct space, although the special positions tabulated for each space group in *IT A* (1983) may include the integers 0 and 1. In special positions, the non-integers often include rational fractions, but in general positions they are in principle irrational. Although  $hkl$  and  $xyz$  appear to be symmetrical variables in (2.1.1.1), these limitations on their values mean that one can consider two different sets of statistical properties. In the first we seek, for example, the average intensity of the  $hkl$  reflection (indices fixed) as the positional parameters of the  $N$  atoms are distributed with equal probability over the continuous range 0–1. In the second, we seek, for example, the average intensity of the observable reflections (or of a subgroup of them having about the same value of  $\sin \theta$ ) with the values of  $xyz$  held constant at the values they have, or are postulated to have, in a crystal structure. Other examples are obtained by substituting the words ‘probability density’ for ‘average intensity’. For brevity, we may call the statistics resulting from the first process *fixed-index* (continuously variable parameters being understood), and those resulting from the second process *fixed-parameter* (integral indices being understood). Theory based on the first process is (comparatively) easy; theory based on the second hardly exists, although there is a good deal of theory concerning the conditions under which the two processes will lead to the same result (Hauptman & Karle, 1953; Giacovazzo, 1977, 1980). Mathematically, of course, the condition is that the phase angle

$$\vartheta = 2\pi(hx + ky + lz) \quad (2.1.1.2)$$

should be distributed with uniform probability over the range 0– $2\pi$ , whichever set of variables is regarded as fixed, but it is not clear when this distribution can be expected in practice for fixed-parameter averaging. The usual conclusion is that the uniform distribution will be realized if there are enough atoms, if the atomic coordinates do not approximate to rational fractions, if there are enough reflections and if stereochemical effects are negligible (Shmueli *et al.*, 1984).

Obviously, the second process (fixed parameters, varying integral indices) corresponds to the observable reality, and various approximations to it have been attempted, in preference to assuming its equivalence with the first. For example, a third (approximate) method of averaging has been used (Wilson, 1949, 1981):  $xyz$  were held fixed and  $hkl$  are treated as continuous variables.

### 2.1.2. The average intensity of general reflections

#### 2.1.2.1. Mathematical background

The process may be illustrated by evaluating, or attempting to evaluate, the average intensity of reflection by the three processes.

The intensity of reflection is given by multiplying equation (2.1.1.1) by its complex conjugate:

$$I = FF^* \quad (2.1.2.1)$$

$$= \sum_{j,k} f_j f_k^* \exp\{2\pi i[h(x_j - x_k) + \dots]\} \quad (2.1.2.2)$$

$$= \Sigma + \sum_{j \neq k} f_j f_k^* \exp\{2\pi i[h(x_j - x_k) + \dots]\}, \quad (2.1.2.3)$$

where

$$\Sigma = \sum_j f_j f_j^* \quad (2.1.2.4)$$

is the sum of the squares of the moduli of the atomic scattering factors. Wilson (1942) argued, without detailed calculation, that the average value of the exponential term would be zero and hence that

$$\langle I \rangle = \Sigma. \quad (2.1.2.5)$$

Averaging equation (2.1.2.3) for  $hkl$  fixed,  $xyz$  ranging uniformly over the unit cell – the first process described above – gives this result identically, without complication or approximation. Ordinarily the second process cannot be carried out. We can, however, postulate a special case in which it is possible. We take a homoatomic structure and before averaging we correct the  $f$ 's for temperature effects and the fall-off with  $\sin \theta$ , so that  $ff^*$  is the same for all the atoms and is independent of  $hkl$ . If the range of  $hkl$  over which the expression for  $I$  has to be averaged is taken as a parallelepiped in reciprocal space with  $h$  ranging from  $-H$  to  $+H$ ,  $k$  from  $-K$  to  $+K$ ,  $l$  from  $-L$  to  $+L$ , equation (2.1.2.2) can be factorized into the product of the sums of three geometrical progressions. Algebraic manipulation then easily leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I \rangle &= ff^* \sum_j \sum_k \frac{\sin \pi N_H (x_j - x_k)}{N_H \sin \pi (x_j - x_k)} \\ &\times \frac{\sin \pi N_K (y_j - y_k)}{N_K \sin \pi (y_j - y_k)} \frac{\sin \pi N_L (z_j - z_k)}{N_L \sin \pi (z_j - z_k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.2.6)$$

where  $N_H = 2H + 1$ ,  $N_K = 2K + 1$  and  $N_L = 2L + 1$ . The terms with  $j = k$  give  $\Sigma$ , but the remaining terms are not zero. Because of the periodic nature of the trigonometric terms, the effective coordinate differences are never greater than 0.5 and in a structure of any complexity there will be many much less than 0.5. For  $HKL = 000$ , in fact,  $\langle I \rangle$  becomes the square of the modulus of the sum of the atomic scattering factors,

$$\langle I \rangle = \Phi \Phi^*, \quad (2.1.2.7)$$

where

$$\Phi = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j, \quad (2.1.2.8)$$

and not the sum of the squares of their moduli; for larger  $HKL$ ,  $\langle I \rangle$  rapidly decreases to  $\Sigma$  and then oscillates about that value. Wilson (1949, especially Section 2.1.1) suggested that the regions of averaging should be chosen so that at least one index of every reflection is  $\geq 2$  if  $\langle I \rangle$  is to be identified with  $\Sigma$ , and this has proven to be a useful rule-of-thumb.

The third process of averaging replaces the sum over integral values of the indices by an integration over continuous values, the appropriate values of the limits in this example being  $-(H + 1/2)$  to  $+(H + 1/2)$ . The effect is to replace the sines in the

## 2.1. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF THE WEIGHTED RECIPROCAL LATTICE

denominators, but not in the numerators, of equation (2.1.2.6) by their arguments, and this is equivalent to the approximation  $\sin x \simeq x$  in the denominators only. This is a good approximation for atoms close together in the structure and thus giving the largest terms in the sums in equation (2.1.2.6), and gives the correct sign and order of magnitude even for  $x$  having its maximum value of  $\pi/2$ .

### 2.1.2.2. Physical background

The preceding section has used mathematical arguments. From a physical point of view, the radiation diffracted by atoms that are resolved will interfere destructively, so that the resulting intensity will be the sum of the intensities diffracted by individual atoms, whereas that from completely unresolved atoms will interfere constructively, so that *amplitudes* rather than intensities add. In intermediate cases there will be partial constructive interference. Resolution in accordance with the Rayleigh (1879) criterion requires that  $s = (2 \sin \theta) / \lambda$  should be greater than half the reciprocal of the minimum interatomic distance in the crystal (Wilson, 1979); full resolution requires a substantial multiple of this. This criterion is essentially equivalent to that proposed from the study of a special case of the second process in the preceding section.

### 2.1.2.3. An approximation for organic compounds

In organic compounds there are very many interatomic distances of about 1.5 or 1.4 Å. Adoption of the preceding criterion would mean that the inner portion of the region of reciprocal space accessible by the use of copper  $K\alpha$  radiation is not within the sphere of intensity statistics based on fixed-index (first process) averaging. No substantial results are available for fixed-parameter (second process) averaging, and very few from the approximation to it (third process).

To the extent to which the third process is acceptable, an approximation to the variation of  $\langle I \rangle$  with  $\sin \theta$  is obtainable. The exponent in equation (2.1.2.2) can be written as

$$2\pi i s r_{jk} \cos \psi, \quad (2.1.2.9)$$

where  $s$  is the radial distance in reciprocal space,  $r_{jk}$  is the distance from the  $j$ th to the  $k$ th atom and  $\psi$  is the angle between the vectors  $s$  and  $r$ . Averaging over a sphere of radius  $s$ , with  $\psi$  treated as the co-latitude, gives

$$\langle I \rangle = \sum_j \sum_k f_j f_k \frac{\sin 2\pi s r_{jk}}{2\pi s r_{jk}}. \quad (2.1.2.10)$$

This is the familiar Debye expression. It has the correct limits for  $s$  zero and  $s$  large, and is in accord with the argument from resolution.

### 2.1.2.4. Effect of centring

In the preceding discussion there has been a tacit assumption that the lattice is primitive. A centred crystal can always be referred to a primitive lattice and if this is done no change is required. If the centred lattice is retained, many reflections are identically zero and the intensity of the non-zero reflections is enhanced by a factor of two ( $I$  and  $C$  lattices) or four ( $F$  lattice), so that the average intensity of all the reflections, zero and non-zero taken together, is unchanged.

Other symmetry elements affect only zones and rows of reflections, and so do not affect the general average when the total number of reflections is large. Their effect on zones and rows is discussed in Section 2.1.3.

Table 2.1.3.1. *Intensity-distribution effects of symmetry elements causing systematic absences*

Abbreviations and orientation of axes:  $A$  = acentric distribution,  $C$  = centric distribution,  $Z$  = systematically zero,  $S$  = distribution parameter,  $\langle I \rangle$  = average intensity. Axes are parallel to  $\mathbf{c}$ , planes are perpendicular to  $\mathbf{c}$ .

Element	Reflections	Distribution	$S/\Sigma$	$\langle I \rangle/\Sigma$
$2_1$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$C$	1	1
	$00l$	$(Z + A)/2$	1	2
$3_{1, 3_2}$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$A$	1	1
	$00l$	$(2Z + A)/3$	1	3
$4_{1, 4_3}$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$C$	1	1
	$00l$	$(3Z + A)/4$	1	4
$4_2$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$C$	1	1
	$00l$	$(Z + A)/2$	2	4
$6_{1, 6_5}$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$C$	1	1
	$00l$	$(5Z + A)/6$	1	6
$6_{2, 6_4}$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$C$	1	1
	$00l$	$(2Z + A)/3$	2	6
$6_3$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$C$	1	1
	$00l$	$(Z + A)/2$	3	6
$a$	$hkl$	$A$	1	1
	$hk0$	$(Z + A)/2$	1	2
	$00l$	$C$	1	1
	$0k0$	$A$	2	2
$C, I$	All	$(Z + A)/2$	1	2
$F$	All	$(3Z + A)/2$	1	4

## 2.1.3. The average intensity of zones and rows

### 2.1.3.1. Symmetry elements producing systematic absences

Symmetry elements can be divided into two types: those that cause systematic absences and those that do not. Those producing systematic absences (glide planes and screw axes) produce at the same time groups of reflections (confined to zones and rows in reciprocal space, respectively) with an average intensity an integral\* multiple of the general average. The effects for single symmetry elements of this type are given in Table 2.1.3.1 for the general reflections  $hkl$  and separately for any zones and rows that are affected. The 'average multipliers' are given in the column headed  $\langle I \rangle/\Sigma$ ; 'distribution' and 'distribution parameters' are treated in Section 2.1.5. As for the centring, the fraction of reflections missing and the integer multiplying the average are related in such a way that the overall intensity is unchanged. The

\* The multiple is given as an exact integer for fixed-index averaging, an approximate integer for fixed-parameter averaging. Statements should be understood to refer to fixed-index averaging unless the contrary is explicitly stated.