

5.2. DYNAMICAL THEORY OF ELECTRON DIFFRACTION

In terms of the ‘Hamiltonian’ of the two-dimensional system,

$$-\mathbf{H}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2k_z} (\nabla_{x,y}^2 + K_0^2) + \sigma\varphi,$$

the evolution operator $\mathbf{U}(z, z_0)$, defined by $\psi(z) = \mathbf{U}(z, z_0)\psi_0$, satisfies

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{U}(z, z_0) = \mathbf{H}(z) \mathbf{U}(z, z_0), \quad (5.2.4.1a)$$

or

$$\mathbf{U}(z, z_0) = 1 - i \int_{z_0}^z \mathbf{U}(z, z_1) \mathbf{H}(z_1) dz_1. \quad (5.2.4.1b)$$

5.2.5. Projection approximation – real-space solution

Many of the features of the more general solutions are retained in the practically important projection approximation in which $\varphi(x, y, z)$ is replaced by its projected mean value $\varphi_p(x, y)$, so that the corresponding Hamiltonian \mathbf{H}_p does not depend on z . Equation (5.2.4.1b) can then be solved directly by iteration to give

$$\mathbf{U}_p(z, z_0) = \exp\{-i\mathbf{H}_p(z - z_0)\}, \quad (5.2.5.1)$$

and the solution may be verified by substitution into equation (5.2.4.1a).

Many of the results of dynamical theory can be obtained by expansion of equation (5.2.5.1) as

$$\mathbf{U}_p \equiv \mathbf{1} - i\mathbf{H}_p(z - z_0) + \frac{i^2}{2!} \mathbf{H}_p^2(z - z_0) - \dots,$$

followed by the direct evaluation of the differentials. Such expressions can be used, for instance, to explore symmetries in image space.

5.2.6. Semi-reciprocal space

In the derivation of electron-diffraction equations, it is more usual to work in semi-reciprocal space (Tournarie, 1962). This can be achieved by transforming equation (5.2.2.1) with respect to x and y but not with respect to z , to obtain Tournarie’s equation

$$\frac{d^2|U\rangle}{dz^2} = -\mathbf{M}_b(z)|U\rangle. \quad (5.2.6.1a)$$

Here $|U\rangle$ is the column vector of scattering amplitudes and $\mathbf{M}_b(z)$ is a matrix, appropriate to LEED, with \mathbf{k} vectors as diagonal elements and Fourier coefficients of the potential as nondiagonal elements.

This equation is factorized in a manner parallel to that used on the real-space equation [equation (5.2.3.1)] (Lynch & Moodie, 1972) to obtain Tournarie’s forward-scattering equation

$$\frac{d|U^\pm\rangle}{dz} = \pm i\mathbf{M}^\pm(z)|U^\pm\rangle, \quad (5.2.6.1b)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}^\pm(z) = \pm[\mathbf{K} + (1/2)\mathbf{K}^{-1}V(z)],$$

$$[K_{ij}] = \delta_{ij}K_i,$$

and

$$[V_{ij}] = 2k_z \sum_l V_{i-j} \exp\{-2\pi ilz\},$$

where $V_i \equiv \sigma v_i$ are the scattering coefficients and v_i are the structure amplitudes in volts. In order to simplify the electron-diffraction expression, the third crystallographic index ‘ l ’ is taken to represent the periodicity along the z direction.

The double solution involving \mathbf{M} of equation (5.2.6.1b) is of interest in displaying the symmetry of reciprocity, and may be compared with the double solution obtained for the real-space equation [equation (5.2.3.2)]. Normally the \mathbf{M}^+ solution will be followed through to give the fast-electron forward-scattering equations appropriate in HEED. \mathbf{M}^- , however, represents the equivalent set of equations corresponding to the z reversed reciprocity configuration. Reciprocity solutions will yield diffraction symmetries in the forward direction when coupled with crystal-inverting symmetries (Section 2.5.3).

Once again we set out to solve the forward-scattering equation (5.2.6.1a,b) now in semi-reciprocal space, and define an operator $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ [compare with equation (5.2.4.1a)] such that

$$|U_z\rangle = \mathbf{Q}_z|U_0\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad U_0 = |0\rangle;$$

i.e., \mathbf{Q}_z is an operator that, when acting on the incident wavevector, generates the wavefunction in semi-reciprocal space.

Again, the differential equation can be transformed into an integral equation, and once again this can be iterated. In the projection approximation, with \mathbf{M} independent of z , the solution can be written as

$$\mathbf{Q}_p = \exp\{i\mathbf{M}_p(z - z_0)\}.$$

A typical off-diagonal element is given by $V_{i-j}/\cos\theta_i$, where θ_i is the angle through which the beam is scattered. It is usual in the literature to find that $\cos\theta_i$ has been approximated as unity, since even the most accurate measurements are, so far, in error by much more than this amount.

This expression for \mathbf{Q}_p is Sturkey’s (1957) solution, a most useful relation, written explicitly as

$$|U\rangle = \exp\{i\mathbf{M}_p T\}|0\rangle \quad (5.2.6.2)$$

with T the thickness of the crystal, and $|0\rangle$, the incident state, a column vector with the first entry unity and the rest zero.

$$\mathbf{S} = \exp\{i\mathbf{M}_p T\}$$

is a unitary matrix, so that in this formulation scattering is described as rotation in Hilbert space.

5.2.7. Two-beam approximation

In the two-beam approximation, as an elementary example, equation (5.2.6.2) takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_h \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left\{i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V^*(\mathbf{h}) \\ V(\mathbf{h}) & K_h \end{pmatrix} T\right\} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2.7.1)$$

If this expression is expanded directly as a Taylor series, it proves surprisingly difficult to sum. However, the symmetries of Clifford algebra can be exploited by summing in a Pauli basis thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left\{i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V^*(\mathbf{h}) \\ V(\mathbf{h}) & K_h \end{pmatrix} T\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{i \frac{K_h T}{2}\right\} \mathbf{E} \exp\left\{i \left(\frac{K_h}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + V^R \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - V^I \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right) T\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$ are the Pauli matrices

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and V^R, V^I are the real and imaginary parts of the complex scattering coefficients appropriate to a noncentrosymmetric crystal,

i.e. $V_{\mathbf{h}} = V^R + iV^I$. Expanding,

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{K_{\mathbf{h}}}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + V^R\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - V^I\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right)T\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{E} + i\left(\frac{K_{\mathbf{h}}}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + V^R\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - V^I\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right)T \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{K_{\mathbf{h}}}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + V^R\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - V^I\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right)^2 T^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

using the anti-commuting properties of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i &= 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i &= 1 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and putting $[(K_{\mathbf{h}}/2)^2 + V(\mathbf{h})V^*(\mathbf{h})] = \Omega$, $\mathbf{M}_2 = [(K_{\mathbf{h}}/2)\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + V^R\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - V^I\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2]$, so that $\mathbf{M}_2^2 = \Omega\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{M}_2^3 = \Omega\mathbf{M}_2$, the powers of the matrix can easily be evaluated. They fall into odd and even series, corresponding to sine and cosine, and the classical two-beam approximation is obtained in the form

$$\mathbf{Q}_2 = \exp\{i(K_{\mathbf{h}}/2)T\}\mathbf{E} \left[(\cos \Omega^{1/2}T)\mathbf{E} + i\left(\frac{\sin \Omega^{1/2}T}{\Omega^{1/2}}\right)\mathbf{M}_2 \right]. \quad (5.2.7.2)$$

This result was first obtained by Blackman (1939), using Bethe's dispersion formulation. Ewald and, independently, Darwin, each with different techniques, had, in establishing the theoretical foundations for X-ray diffraction, obtained analogous results (see Section 5.1.3).

The two-beam approximation, despite its simplicity, exemplifies some of the characteristics of the full dynamical theory, for instance in the coupling between beams. As Ewald pointed out, a formal analogy can be found in classical mechanics with the motion of coupled pendulums. In addition, the functional form $(\sin ax)/x$, deriving from the shape function of the crystal emerges, as it does, albeit less obviously, in the N -beam theory.

This derivation of equation (5.2.7.2) exhibits two-beam diffraction as a typical two-level system having analogies with, for instance, lasers and nuclear magnetic resonance and exhibiting the symmetries of the special unitary group $SU(2)$ (Gilmore, 1974).

5.2.8. Eigenvalue approach

In terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_p|j\rangle = \gamma_j|j\rangle,$$

the evolution operator can be written as

$$\mathbf{U}(z, z_0) = \int |j\rangle \exp\{\gamma_j(z - z_0)\} \langle j| \, dj.$$

This integration becomes a summation over discrete eigen states when an infinitely periodic potential is considered.

Despite the early developments by Bethe (1928), an N -beam expression for a transmitted wavefunction in terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the problem was not obtained until Fujimoto (1959) derived the expression

$$U_{\mathbf{h}} = \sum_j \psi_0^{j*} \psi_{\mathbf{h}}^j \exp\{-i2\pi\gamma_j T\}, \quad (5.2.8.1)$$

where $\psi_{\mathbf{h}}^j$ is the h component of the j eigenvector with eigenvalue γ_j .

This expression can now be related to those obtained in the other formulations. For example, Sylvester's theorem (Frazer *et al.*, 1963) in the form

$$f(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_j \mathbf{A}_j f(\gamma_j)$$

when applied to Sturkey's solution yields

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{h}} = \exp(i\mathbf{M}_p z) = \sum \mathbf{P}_j \exp\{i2\pi\gamma_j z\}$$

(Kainuma, 1968; Hurley *et al.*, 1978). Here, the \mathbf{P}_j are projection operators, typically of the form

$$\mathbf{P}_j = \prod_{n \neq j} \frac{(\mathbf{M}_p - \mathbf{E}\gamma_n)}{\gamma_j - \gamma_n}.$$

On changing to a lattice basis, these transform to $\psi_0^{j*} \psi_{\mathbf{h}}^j$.

Alternatively, the semi-reciprocal differential equation can be uncoupled by diagonalizing \mathbf{M}_p (Goodman & Moodie, 1974), a process which involves the solution of the characteristic equation

$$|\mathbf{M}_p - \gamma_j \mathbf{E}| = 0. \quad (5.2.8.2)$$

5.2.9. Translational invariance

An important result deriving from Bethe's initial analysis, and not made explicit in the preceding formulations, is that the fundamental symmetry of a crystal, namely translational invariance, by itself imposes a specific form on wavefunctions satisfying Schrödinger's equation.

Suppose that, in a one-dimensional description, the potential in a Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}_t(x)$ is periodic, with period t . Then,

$$\varphi(x+t) = \varphi(x)$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_t \psi(x) = \mathbf{E} \psi(x).$$

Now define a translation operator

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} f(x) = f(x+t),$$

for arbitrary $f(x)$. Then, since $\mathbf{\Gamma} \varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$, and ∇^2 is invariant under translation,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{H}_t(x) = \mathbf{H}_t(x)$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{H}_t(x) \psi(x) = \mathbf{H}_t(x+t) \psi(x+t) = \mathbf{H}_t(x) \mathbf{\Gamma} \psi(x).$$

Thus, the translation operator and the Hamiltonian commute, and therefore have the same eigenfunctions (but not of course the same eigenvalues), *i.e.*

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} \psi(x) = \alpha \psi(x).$$

This is a simpler equation to deal with than that involving the Hamiltonian, since raising the operator to an arbitrary power simply increments the argument

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}^m \psi(x) = \psi(x+mt) = \alpha^m \psi(x).$$

But $\psi(x)$ is bounded over the entire range of its argument, positive and negative, so that $|\alpha| = 1$, and α must be of the form $\exp\{i2\pi kt\}$.

Thus, $\psi(x+t) = \mathbf{\Gamma} \psi(x) = \exp\{i2\pi kt\} \psi(x)$, for which the solution is

$$\psi(x) = \exp\{i2\pi kt\} q(x)$$

with $q(x+t) = q(x)$.

This is the result derived independently by Bethe and Bloch. Functions of this form constitute bases for the translation group, and are generally known as Bloch functions. When extended in a direct fashion into three dimensions, functions of this form ultimately embody the symmetries of the Bravais lattice; *i.e.* Bloch functions are the irreducible representations of the translational component of the space group.