

1. GENERAL RELATIONSHIPS AND TECHNIQUES

$$Y^*(0) = \sum_k Y(k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y^*(m^* + 1) &= Y(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} C(m^* + m)Y(m + 1) \\ &= Y(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} C(m^* - m)Z(m) \\ &= Y(0) + (\mathbf{C} * \mathbf{Z})(m^*), \quad m^* = 0, \dots, p - 2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is defined by  $Z(m) = Y(p - m - 2)$ ,  $m = 0, \dots, p - 2$ .

Thus  $\mathbf{Y}^*$  may be obtained by cyclic convolution of  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$ , which may for instance be calculated by

$$\mathbf{C} * \mathbf{Z} = F(p - 1)[\bar{F}(p - 1)[\mathbf{C}] \times \bar{F}(p - 1)[\mathbf{Z}]],$$

where  $\times$  denotes the component-wise multiplication of vectors. Since  $p$  is odd,  $p - 1$  is always divisible by 2 and may even be highly composite. In that case, factoring  $\bar{F}(p - 1)$  by means of the Cooley–Tukey or Good methods leads to an algorithm of complexity  $p \log p$  rather than  $p^2$  for  $\bar{F}(p)$ . An added bonus is that, because  $g^{(p-1)/2} = -1$ , the elements of  $\bar{F}(p - 1)[\mathbf{C}]$  can be shown to be either purely real or purely imaginary, which halves the number of real multiplications involved.

1.3.3.2.3.2. *N a power of an odd prime*

This idea was extended by Winograd (1976, 1978) to the treatment of prime powers  $N = p^\nu$ , using the cyclic structure of the multiplicative group of units  $U(p^\nu)$ . The latter consists of all those elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu\mathbb{Z}$  which are not divisible by  $p$ , and thus has  $q_\nu = p^{\nu-1}(p - 1)$  elements. It is cyclic, and there exist primitive roots  $g$  modulo  $p^\nu$  such that

$$U(p^\nu) = \{1, g, g^2, g^3, \dots, g^{q_\nu-1}\}.$$

The  $p^{\nu-1}$  elements divisible by  $p$ , which are divisors of zero, have to be treated separately just as 0 had to be treated separately for  $N = p$ .

When  $k^* \notin U(p^\nu)$ , then  $k^* = pk_1^*$  with  $k_1^* \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu-1}\mathbb{Z}$ . The results  $X^*(pk_1^*)$  are  $p$ -decimated, hence can be obtained via the  $p^{\nu-1}$ -point DFT of the  $p^{\nu-1}$ -periodized data  $\mathbf{Y}$ :

$$X^*(pk_1^*) = \bar{F}(p^{\nu-1})[\mathbf{Y}](k_1^*)$$

with

$$Y(k_1) = \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} X(k_1 + p^{\nu-1}k_2).$$

When  $k^* \in U(p^\nu)$ , then we may write

$$X^*(k^*) = X_0^*(k^*) + X_1^*(k^*),$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_0^*$  contains the contributions from  $k \notin U(p^\nu)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_1^*$  those from  $k \in U(p^\nu)$ . By a converse of the previous calculation,  $\mathbf{X}_0^*$  arises from  $p$ -decimated data  $\mathbf{Z}$ , hence is the  $p^{\nu-1}$ -periodization of the  $p^{\nu-1}$ -point DFT of these data:

$$X_0^*(p^{\nu-1}k_1^* + k_2^*) = \bar{F}(p^{\nu-1})[\mathbf{Z}](k_2^*)$$

with

$$Z(k_2) = X(pk_2), \quad k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu-1}\mathbb{Z}$$

(the  $p^{\nu-1}$ -periodicity follows implicitly from the fact that the transform on the right-hand side is independent of  $k_1^* \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Finally, the contribution  $X_1^*$  from all  $k \in U(p^\nu)$  may be calculated by reindexing by the powers of a primitive root  $g$  modulo  $p^\nu$ , i.e. by writing

$$X_1^*(g^{m^*}) = \sum_{m=0}^{q_\nu-1} X(g^m)e(g^{(m^*+m)/p^\nu})$$

then carrying out the multiplication by the skew-circulant matrix core as a convolution.

Thus the DFT of size  $p^\nu$  may be reduced to two DFTs of size  $p^{\nu-1}$  (dealing, respectively, with  $p$ -decimated results and  $p$ -decimated data) and a convolution of size  $q_\nu = p^{\nu-1}(p - 1)$ . The latter may be ‘diagonalized’ into a multiplication by purely real or purely imaginary numbers (because  $g^{(q_\nu/2)} = -1$ ) by two DFTs, whose factoring in turn leads to DFTs of size  $p^{\nu-1}$  and  $p - 1$ . This method, applied recursively, allows the complete decomposition of the DFT on  $p^\nu$  points into arbitrarily small DFTs.

1.3.3.2.3.3. *N a power of 2*

When  $N = 2^\nu$ , the same method can be applied, except for a slight modification in the calculation of  $\mathbf{X}_1^*$ . There is no primitive root modulo  $2^\nu$  for  $\nu > 2$ : the group  $U(2^\nu)$  is the direct product of two cyclic groups, the first (of order 2) generated by  $-1$ , the second (of order  $N/4$ ) generated by 3 or 5. One then uses a representation

$$\begin{aligned} k &= (-1)^{m_1} 5^{m_2} \\ k^* &= (-1)^{m_1^*} 5^{m_2^*} \end{aligned}$$

and the reindexed core matrix gives rise to a two-dimensional convolution. The latter may be carried out by means of two 2D DFTs on  $2 \times (N/4)$  points.

1.3.3.2.3.4. *The Winograd algorithms*

The cyclic convolutions generated by Rader’s multiplicative reindexing may be evaluated more economically than through DFTs if they are re-examined within a new algebraic setting, namely the theory of congruence classes of polynomials [see, for instance, Blahut (1985), Chapter 2; Schroeder (1986), Chapter 24].

The set, denoted  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , of polynomials in one variable with coefficients in a given field  $\mathbb{K}$  has many of the formal properties of the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of rational integers: it is a ring with no zero divisors and has a Euclidean algorithm on which a theory of divisibility can be built.

Given a polynomial  $P(z)$ , then for every  $W(z)$  there exist unique polynomials  $Q(z)$  and  $R(z)$  such that

$$W(z) = P(z)Q(z) + R(z)$$

and

$$\text{degree}(R) < \text{degree}(P).$$

$R(z)$  is called the residue of  $H(z)$  modulo  $P(z)$ . Two polynomials  $H_1(z)$  and  $H_2(z)$  having the same residue modulo  $P(z)$  are said to be congruent modulo  $P(z)$ , which is denoted by