4.6. RECIPROCAL-SPACE IMAGES OF APERIODIC CRYSTALS

The scaling transformation $(S^{-3})^T$ leaves a primitive 6D reciprocal lattice invariant as can easily be seen from its application on the indices:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} h_1' \\ h_2' \\ h_3' \\ h_4' \\ h_5' \\ h_6' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}_D \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \\ h_5 \\ h_6 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The matrix $(S^{-1})^T$ leaves $M^* = \{\mathbf{H}^{\parallel} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} h_i \mathbf{a}_i^* | h_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ invariant,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} h_1' \\ h_2' \\ h_3' \\ h_4' \\ h_5' \\ h_6' \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_D \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \\ h_5 \\ h_6 \end{pmatrix},
$$

for any $\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} h_i \mathbf{d}_i^*$ with h_i all even or all odd, corresponding to a 6D face-centred hypercubic lattice. In a second case the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{6} h_i$ is even, corresponding to a 6D body-centred hypercubic lattice. Block-diagonalization of the matrix S decomposes it into two irreducible representations. With $WSW^{-1} = S_V = S_V^{\parallel} \oplus S_V^{\perp}$ we obtain

$$
S_V = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/\tau & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/\tau & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/\tau \end{pmatrix}_V = \begin{pmatrix} S^{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & S^{\perp} \end{pmatrix}_V,
$$

the scaling properties in the two 3D subspaces: scaling by a factor τ in parallel space corresponds to a scaling by a factor $(-\tau)^{-1}$ in perpendicular space. For the intensities of the scaled reflections analogous relationships are valid, as discussed for decagonal phases (Figs. 4.6.3.36 and 4.6.3.37, Section 4.6.3.3.2.5).

4.6.4. Experimental aspects of the reciprocal-space analysis of aperiodic crystals

4.6.4.1. Data-collection strategies

Theoretically, aperiodic crystals show an infinite number of reflections within a given diffraction angle, contrary to periodic crystals. The number of reflections to be included in a structure analysis of a *periodic* crystal may be very high (one million for virus crystals, for instance) but there is no ambiguity in the selection of reflections to be collected: all Bragg reflections within a limiting sphere in reciprocal space, usually given by $0 \leq \sin\theta/\lambda \leq 0.7$ Å^{-1}, are used. All reflections, observed and unobserved, are included to fit a reliable structure model.

However, for *aperiodic* crystals it is not possible to collect the infinite number of dense Bragg reflections within $0 \leq \sin\theta/\lambda \leq 0.7$ Å^{-1}. The number of observable reflections within this limiting sphere depends only on the spatial and intensity resolution.

What happens if not all reflections are included in a structure analysis? How important is the contribution of reflections with


(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 4.6.4.1. Simulated diffraction patterns of (a) the $\simeq 52$ Å single-crystal approximant of decagonal Al–Co–Ni, (b) the fivefold twinned approximant, and (c) the decagonal phase itself (Estermann *et al.*, 1994).

large perpendicular-space components of the diffraction vector which are weak but densely distributed? These problems are illustrated using the example of the Fibonacci sequence. An infinite model structure consisting of Al atoms with isotropic thermal parameter $B = 1$ Å^2, and distances S = 2.5 Å and L = τS, was used for the calculations (Table 4.6.4.1).

Fig. 4.6.4.2. Zero-layer X-ray diffraction patterns of decaprismatic $Al_{73.5}C_{21.7}Ni_{4.8}$ crystals taken parallel and perpendicular to the crystal axis on an image-plate scanner (Mar Research) at different temperatures. In (a) and (b), room-temperature (RT) diffraction patterns from a sample quenched after annealing at 1073 K are shown. Reflections from both a crystalline approximant and a decagonal phase are visible. The period along the unique direction in the decagonal phase and the corresponding period in the approximant phase is $\simeq 8$ Å (b). At 1520 K, a single-phase decagonal quasicrystal is present with $\simeq 4$ Å fundamental structure (c, d). In (e, f), the RT diffraction patterns of the slowly cooled sample indicate a single-phase nanodomain structure with $\simeq 8$ Å periodicity along the unique direction.

It turns out that 92.6% of the total diffracted intensity of 161 322 reflections is included in the 44 strongest reflections and 99.2% in the strongest 425 reflections. It is remarkable, however, that in all the experimental data for icosahedral and decagonal quasicrystals collected so far, rarely more than 20 to 50 reflections along reciprocal-lattice lines corresponding to net planes with Fibonacci-sequence-like distances could be observed. The consequences for structure determinations with such truncated data sets are primarily a lower resolution in perpendicular space than in physical space. This corresponds to a smearing of the hyperatoms in the perpendicular space. For the derivation of the local structure-building elements (clusters) of aperiodic crystals this is only a minor problem: the smeared hyperatoms give rise to split atoms and a biased electron-density distribution. The information on the global aperiodic structure, however, which is contained in the detailed shape of the atomic surfaces, is severely

reduced when using a low-resolution diffraction data set. A combination of high-resolution electron microscopy, lattice imaging and diffraction techniques allows a good characterization of the local and global order even in these cases. For a more detailed analysis of these problems see Steurer (1995).

4.6.4.2. Commensurability versus incommensurability

The question whether an aperiodic crystal is really aperiodic or rather a high-order approximant is of different importance depending on the point of view. As far as real finite crystals are considered, definitions of *periodic* and *aperiodic real crystals* and of *periodic* and *aperiodic perfect crystals* have to be given first. *Real crystals*, despite periodicity or aperiodicity, are the actual samples under investigation. Partial information about their actual structure can be obtained today by imaging methods (scanning tunnelling microscopy, atomic force microscopy, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, ...). Basically, the real crystal structure can be determined using full diffraction information from Bragg and diffuse scattering. In practice, however, only 'Bragg reflections' are included in a structure analysis. 'Bragg reflections' result from the integration of diffraction intensities from extended volumes around a limited number of Bragg-reflection positions ($\mathbb{Z}$ module). This process of intensity condensation at Bragg points corresponds in direct space to an averaging process. The real crystal structure is projected upon one unit cell in direct space defined by the $\mathbb{Z}$ module in reciprocal space. Generally, the identification of appropriate reciprocal-space metrics is not a problem in the case of crystals. It can be problematic, however, in the case of aperiodic crystals, in particular quasicrystals (see Lancon *et al.*, 1994). The metrics, and to some extent the global order in the case of quasicrystals, are fixed by assigning the reciprocal basis. The spatial resolution of a diffraction experiment defines the accuracy of the resulting metrics. The decision whether the rational number obtained for the relative length of a satellite vector indicates a commensurate or an incommensurate modulation can only be made considering temperature- and pressure-dependent chemical and physical properties of the material. The same is valid for other types of aperiodic crystals.

4.6.4.3. Twinning and nanodomain structures

High-order approximants of quasicrystals often occur in orientationally twinned form or, on a smaller scale, as oriented nanodomain structures. These structures can be identified by electron microscopy, and, in certain cases, also by high-resolution X-ray diffractometry (Kalning *et al.*, 1994). If the intensity and spatial resolution is sufficient, characteristic reflection splitting and diffuse diffraction phenomena can be observed. It has been demonstrated that for the determination of the local structure (structure-building elements) it does not matter greatly whether one uses a data set for a real quasicrystal or one for a twinned approximant (Estermann *et al.*, 1994). Examples of reciprocal-space images of an approximant, a twinned approximant and the related decagonal phase are shown schematically in Fig. 4.6.4.1 and for real samples in Fig. 4.6.4.2.

References

Axel, F. & Gratias, D. (1995). Editors. *Beyond Quasicrystals.* Les Ulis: Les Editions de Physique and Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
Bancel, P. A., Heiney, P. A., Stephens, P. W., Goldman, A. I. & Horn, P. M. (1985). *Structure of rapidly quenched Al–Mn. Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 2422–2425.
Böhm, H. (1977). *Eine erweiterte Theorie der Satellitenreflexe und die Bestimmung der modulierten Struktur des Natriumnitrits.* Habilitation thesis, University of Munster.
Cummins, H. Z. (1990). *Experimental studies of structurally incommensurate crystal phases. Phys. Rep.* **185**, 211–409.
Dehlinger, U. (1927). *Über die Verbreiterung der Debyelinien bei kaltbearbeiteten Metallen. Z. Kristallogr.* **65**, 615–631.
Dräger, J. & Mermin, N. D. (1996). *Superspace groups without the embedding: the link between superspace and Fourier-space crystallography. Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 1489–1492.
Estermann, M., Haibach, T. & Steurer, W. (1994). *Quasicrystal versus twinned approximant: a quantitative analysis with decagonal $Al_{70}Co_{15}Ni_{15}$. Philos. Mag. Lett.* **70**, 379–384.
Goldman, A. I. & Kelton, K. F. (1993). *Quasicrystals and crystalline approximants. Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 213–230.
Gouyet, J. F. (1996). *Physics and Fractal Structures.* Paris: Masson and Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
Hausdorff, F. (1919). *Dimension und äusseres Mass. Math. Ann.* **79**, 157–179.
Hermann, C. (1949). *Kristallographie in Räumen beliebiger Dimensionszahl. I. Die Symmetrieoperationen. Acta Cryst.* **2**, 139–145.
Ishihara, K. N. & Yamamoto, A. (1988). *Penrose patterns and related structures. I. Superstructure and generalized Penrose patterns. Acta Cryst.* A**44**, 508–516.
Janner, A. (1992). *Decagrammal symmetry of decagonal $Al_{78}Mn_{22}$ quasicrystal. Acta Cryst.* A**48**, 884–901.
Janner, A. & Janssen, T. (1979). *Superspace groups. Physica A*, **99**, 47–76.
Janner, A. & Janssen, T. (1980a). *Symmetry of incommensurate crystal phases. I. Commensurate basic structures. Acta Cryst.* A**36**, 399–408.
Janner, A. & Janssen, T. (1980b). *Symmetry of incommensurate crystal phases. II. Incommensurate basic structures. Acta Cryst.* A**36**, 408–415.
Janner, A., Janssen, T. & de Wolff, P. M. (1983a). *Bravais classes for incommensurate crystal phases. Acta Cryst.* A**39**, 658–666.
Janner, A., Janssen, T. & de Wolff, P. M. (1983b). *Determination of the Bravais class for a number of incommensurate crystals. Acta Cryst.* A**39**, 671–678.
Janot, Chr. (1994). *Quasicrystals. A Primer.* Oxford: Clarendon Press.
Janssen, T. (1986). *Crystallography of quasicrystals. Acta Cryst.* A**42**, 261–271.
Janssen, T. (1988). *Aperiodic crystals: a contradictio in terminis? Phys. Rep.* **168**, 55–113.
Janssen, T. (1995). *From quasiperiodic to more complex systems.* In *Beyond Quasicrystals*, edited by F. Axel & D. Gratias, pp. 75–140. Les Ulis: Les Editions de Physique and Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
Janssen, T., Janner, A., Looijenga-Vos, A. & de Wolff, P. M. (2004). *Incommensurate and commensurate modulated crystal structures.* In *International Tables for Crystallography*, Vol. C, edited by E. Prince, ch. 9.8. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
Jaric, M. V. (1986). *Diffraction from quasicrystals: geometric structure factor. Phys. Rev. B*, **34**, 4685–4698.
Kalning, M., Kek, S., Burandt, B., Press, W. & Steurer, W. (1994). *Examination of a multiple twinned periodic approximant of the decagonal phase $Al_{70}Co_{15}Ni_{15}$. J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **6**, 6177–6187.
Kato, K. (1990). *Strukturverfeinerung des Kompositkristalls im mehrdimensionalen Raum. Acta Cryst.* B**46**, 39–44.
Kelton, K. F. (1995). *Quasicrystals and related structures.* In *Intermetallic Compounds. Principles and Practice*, Vol. 1, edited by J. H. Westbrook & R. L. Fleischer, pp. 453–491. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
Korekawa, M. (1967). *Theorie der Satellitenreflexe.* Habilitation thesis, University of Munich.
Lancon, F., Billard, L., Burkov, S. & DeBoissieu, M. (1994). *On choosing a proper basis for determining structures of quasicrystals. J. Phys. I France*, **4**, 283–301.
Levine, D. & Steinhardt, P. J. (1986). *Quasicrystals. I. Definition and structure. Phys. Rev. B*, **34**, 596–616.
Levitov, L. S. & Rhyner, J. (1988). *Crystallography of quasicrystals; application to icosahedral symmetry. J. Phys. France*, **49**, 1835–1849.
Luck, J. M., Godréche, C., Janner, A. & Janssen, T. (1993). *The nature of the atomic surfaces of quasiperiodic self-similar structures. J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **26**, 1951–1999.
Paciorek, W. A. & Chapuis, G. (1994). *Generalized Bessel functions in incommensurate structure analysis. Acta Cryst.* A**50**, 194–203.
Pavlovitch, A. & Kleman, M. (1987). *Generalized 2D Penrose tilings: structural properties. J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **20**, 687–702.
Penrose, R. (1974). *The role of aesthetics in pure and applied mathematical research. Bull. Math. Appl.* **10**, 266–271.
Penrose, R. (1979). *Pentaplexity. A class of non-periodic tilings of the plane. Math. Intell.* **2**, 32–37.