

## 5.2. DYNAMICAL THEORY OF ELECTRON DIFFRACTION

## 5.2.12. Multislice

Multislice derives from a formulation that generates a solution in the form of a Born series (Cowley & Moodie, 1962). The crystal is treated as a series of scattering planes on to which the potential from the slice between  $z$  and  $z + \Delta z$  is projected, separated by vacuum gaps  $\Delta z$ , not necessarily corresponding to any planes or spacings of the material structure. The phase change in the electron beam produced by passage through a slice is given by

$$q = \exp \left\{ -i\sigma \int_{z_1}^{z_1 + \Delta z} \varphi(x, y, z) dz \right\},$$

and the phase distribution in the  $x, y$  plane resulting from propagation between slices is given by

$$p = \exp \left\{ \frac{ik(x^2 + y^2)}{2\Delta z} \right\},$$

where the wavefront has been approximated by a paraboloid. Thus, the wavefunction for the  $(n + 1)$ th slice is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n+1} &= \left[ \psi_n * \exp \left\{ \frac{ik(x^2 + y^2)}{2\Delta z} \right\} \right] \exp\{-i\sigma\varphi_{n+1}\} \\ &= [\psi_n * p]q, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.12.1)$$

where  $*$  is the convolution operator (Cowley, 1981).

This equation can be regarded as the finite difference form of the Schrödinger equation derived by Feynman's (1948) method. The calculation need be correct only to first order in  $\Delta z$ . Writing the convolution in equation (5.2.12.1) explicitly, and expanding in a Taylor series, the integrals can be evaluated to yield equation (5.2.3.1) (Goodman & Moodie, 1974).

If equation (5.2.12.1) is Fourier transformed with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ , the resulting recurrence relation is of the form

$$U_{n+1} = [U_n P] * Q_n, \quad (5.2.12.2)$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are obtained by Fourier transforming  $p$  and  $q$  above. This form is convenient for numerical work since, for a perfect crystal, it is: discrete, as distinct from equation (5.2.12.1) which is continuous in the variables [see *IT C* (2004, Section 4.3.6.1)]; numerically stable at least up to 5000 beams; fast; and only requires a computer memory proportional to the number of beams (Goodman & Moodie, 1974).

## 5.2.13. Born series

In the impulse limit of equation (5.2.12.2), the integrals can be evaluated to give the Born series (Cowley & Moodie, 1957)

$$U(h, k) = \sum_n U_n(h, k),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(h, k) &= \sum_l \sum_{h_1 k_1 l_1} \dots \sum_{h_{n-1} k_{n-1} l_{n-1}} i^n V(h_1, k_1, l_1) \\ &\dots V \left( h - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} h_r, k - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} k_r, l - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} l_r \right) \\ &\times [\exp\{-2\pi i \zeta T\} / (2\pi i)^n] \\ &\times \left( \exp\{i\pi \zeta T\} (\sin \pi \zeta T / \zeta) [(\zeta - \zeta_1) \dots (\zeta - \zeta_{n-1})]^{-1} \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \exp\{i\pi \zeta_m T\} (\sin \pi \zeta_m T / \zeta_m) [(\zeta_m - \zeta_1) \dots (\zeta_m - \zeta_{m-1})(\zeta_m - \zeta_{m+1}) \dots (\zeta_m - \zeta)]^{-1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.13.1a)$$

and where  $n$  is the order of interaction. Here  $\zeta$  is the excitation error of the reflection with index  $h, k$ , and  $\zeta_i$  are the excitation errors for the reflections with indices  $h_i, k_i, l_i$ . Thus each constituent process may be represented by a diagram, starting on the origin of reciprocal space, possibly looped, and ending on the point with coordinates  $(h, k)$ .

This solution can also be obtained by iteration of the Green-function integral equation, the integrals being evaluated by means of suitably chosen contours on the complex  $k_z$  plane (Fujiwara, 1959), as well as by expansion of the scattering matrix (Fujimoto, 1959).

Clearly, two or more of the  $\zeta_i$  will, in general, be equal in nearly all of the terms in equation (5.2.13.1a). Confluence is, however, readily described, the divided differences of arbitrary order transforming into differentials of the same order (Moodie, 1972).

The physical picture that emerges from equation (5.2.13.1a) is that of  $n$ -fold scattering, the initial wave being turned through  $n - 1$  intermediate states, processes that can be presented by scattering diagrams in reciprocal space (Gjønnnes & Moodie, 1965).

For a given scattering vector, constituent functions are evaluated for all possible paths in three dimensions, and those functions are then summed over  $l$ . There are therefore two distinct processes by which upper-layer lines can perturb wavefunctions in the zone, namely: by scattering out of the zone and then back in; and by intrusion of the effective shape function from another zone, the latter process being already operative in the first Born, or kinematical approximation.

The constituent functions to be evaluated can be transformed into many forms. One of the more readily described is that which assigns to each diagram an effective dynamical shape function. If there are no loops in the diagram of order  $n$ , this effective shape function is the  $(n + 1)$ th divided difference of the constituent phase-shifted kinematical shape transforms. For general diagrams, divided differences in loops are replaced by the corresponding differentials. The resulting function is multiplied by the convolution of the contributing structure amplitudes and diagrams of all orders summed (Moodie, 1972).

While scattering diagrams have no utility in numerical work, they find application in the analysis of symmetries, for instance in the determination of the presence or absence of a centre of inversion [for a recent treatment, see Moodie & Whitfield (1995)] and in the detection of screw axes and glide planes (Gjønnnes & Moodie, 1965). Methods for the direct determination of all space groups are described by Goodman (1975) and by Tanaka *et al.* (1983) (see Section 2.5.3).

Equation (5.2.13.1a) can be rewritten in a form particularly suited to the classification of approximations, and to describing the underlying symmetry of the formulation. The equation is written for compactness as

$$U_n(h) = E_n(h)Z_n(\zeta),$$

so that  $E_n(h)$  depends only on crystal structure and  $Z_n(\zeta)$  only on diffraction geometry. A transformation (Cowley & Moodie, 1962) involving bialternants leads to

$$U_n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E_n(h) [(2\pi iT)^{n+r} / (n+r)!] h_r(\zeta, \zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{n-1}), \quad (5.2.13.1b)$$

where  $h_r$  is the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial function of  $n$  variables of order  $r$ .

Upper-layer-line effects can, of course, be calculated in any of the formulations.

### 5.2.14. Approximations

So far, only the familiar first Born and two-beam approximations and the projection approximation have been mentioned. Several others, however, have a considerable utility.

A high-voltage limit can be calculated in standard fashion to give

$$U_{\text{HVL}}(h, k) = \mathcal{F} \exp \left\{ -i\sigma_c \int_0^T \varphi(x, y, z) dz \right\}, \quad (5.2.14.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier transform operator, and  $\sigma_c = 2\pi m_0 e \lambda_c / h^2$  with  $\lambda_c = (h/m_0 c)$ , the Compton wavelength. The phase-grating approximation, which finds application in electron microscopy, involves the assumption that equation (5.2.14.1) has some range of validity when  $\sigma_c$  is replaced by  $\sigma$ . This is equivalent to ignoring the curvature of the Ewald sphere and can therefore apply to thin crystals [see Section 2.5.2 and *IT C* (2004, Section 4.3.8)].

Approximations that involve curtailing the number of beams evidently have a range of validity that depends on the size of the unit cell. The most explored case is that of three-beam interactions. Kambe (1957) has demonstrated that phase information can be obtained from the diffraction data; Gjønnes & Høier (1971) analysed the confluent case, and Hurley & Moodie (1980) have given an explicit inversion for the centrosymmetric case. Analyses of the symmetry of the defining differential equation, and of the geometry of the noncentrosymmetric case, have been given by Moodie *et al.* (1996, 1998).

Niehrs and his co-workers (*e.g.* Blume, 1966) have shown that, at or near zones, effective two-beam conditions can sometimes obtain, in that, for instance, the central beam and six equidistant beams of equal structure amplitude can exhibit two-beam behaviour when the excitation errors are equal. Group-theoretical treatments have been given by Fukuhara (1966) and by Kogiso & Takahashi (1977). Explicit reductions for all admissible noncentrosymmetric space groups have been obtained by Moodie & Whitfield (1994). Extensions of such results have application in the interpretation of lattice images and convergent-beam patterns.

The approximations near the classical limit have been extensively explored [for instance, see Berry (1971)] but channelling has effectively become a separate subject and cannot be discussed here.

### References

Berry, M. V. (1971). *Diffraction in crystals at high voltages*. *J. Phys. C*, **4**, 697–722.  
 Bethe, H. A. (1928). *Theorie der Beugung von Elektronen an Kristallen*. *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)*, **87**, 55–129.  
 Blackman, M. (1939). *Intensities of electron diffraction rings*. *Proc. Phys. Soc. London Sect. A*, **173**, 68–72.  
 Blume, J. (1966). *Die Kantenstreuung im Elektronen-Mikroskopischen Bild Würfelförmiger MgO Kristalle bei Durchstrahlung im Richtung der Raumdiagonal*. *Z. Phys.* **191**, 248–272.

Born, M. (1926). *Quantenmechanik der Stossvorgänge*. *Z. Phys.* **38**, 803–826.  
 Buxton, B. (1978). *Graduate Lecture-Course Notes: Dynamical Diffraction Theory*. Cambridge University, England.  
 Coronas, J., De Facio, B. & Kreuger, R. J. (1982). *Parabolic approximations to the time-independent elastic wave equation*. *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 577–586.  
 Cowley, J. M. (1981). *Diffraction Physics*, pp. 26–30. Amsterdam: North-Holland.  
 Cowley, J. M. & Moodie, A. F. (1957). *The scattering of electrons by atoms and crystals. I. A new theoretical approach*. *Acta Cryst.* **10**, 609–619.  
 Cowley, J. M. & Moodie, A. F. (1962). *The scattering of electrons by thin crystals*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **17**, Suppl. B11, 86–91.  
 Feynman, R. (1948). *Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics*. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **201**, 367–387.  
 Frazer, R. A., Duncan, W. J. & Collar, A. R. (1963). *Elementary Matrices*, pp. 78–79. Cambridge University Press.  
 Fujimoto, F. (1959). *Dynamical theory of electron diffraction in the Laue case*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **14**, 1558–1568.  
 Fujiwara, K. (1959). *Application of higher order Born approximation to multiple elastic scattering of electrons by crystals*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **14**, 1513–1524.  
 Fujiwara, K. (1962). *Relativistic dynamical theory of electron diffraction*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **17**, Suppl. B11, 118–123.  
 Fukuhara, A. (1966). *Many-ray approximations in the dynamical theory of electron diffraction*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **21**, 2645–2662.  
 Gilmore, R. (1974). *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications*. New York: Wiley-Interscience.  
 Gjønnes, J. & Høier, R. (1971). *The application of non-systematic many-beam dynamic effects to structure-factor determination*. *Acta Cryst.* **A27**, 313–316.  
 Gjønnes, J. & Moodie, A. F. (1965). *Extinction conditions in the dynamic theory of electron diffraction*. *Acta Cryst.* **19**, 65–67.  
 Goodman, P. (1975). *A practical method of three-dimensional space-group analysis using convergent-beam electron diffraction*. *Acta Cryst.* **A31**, 804–810.  
 Goodman, P. (1981). Editor. *Fifty Years of Electron Diffraction*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.  
 Goodman, P. & Moodie, A. F. (1974). *Numerical evaluation of N-beam wave functions in electron scattering by the multislice method*. *Acta Cryst.* **A30**, 280–290.  
 Gratias, D. & Portier, R. (1983). *Time-like perturbation method in high energy electron diffraction*. *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 576–584.  
 Hirsch, P. B., Howie, A., Nicholson, R. B., Pashley, D. W. & Whelan, M. J. (1965). *Electron Microscopy of Thin Crystals*. London: Butterworths.  
 Howie, A. (1966). *Diffraction channelling of fast electrons and positrons in crystals*. *Philos. Mag.* **14**, 223–237.  
 Howie, A. (1978). In *Electron Diffraction 1927–1977*, edited by P. J. Dobson, J. B. Pendry & C. J. Humphreys, pp. 1–12. *Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.* No. 41. Bristol/London: Institute of Physics.  
 Humphreys, C. J. (1979). *The scattering of fast electrons by crystals*. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **42**, 1825–1887.  
 Hurley, A. C., Johnson, A. W. S., Moodie, A. F., Rez, P. & Sellar, J. R. (1978). *Algebraic approaches to N-beam theory*. In *Electron Diffraction 1927–1977*, edited by P. J. Dobson, J. B. Pendry & C. J. Humphreys, pp. 34–40. *Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.* No. 41. Bristol/London: Institute of Physics.  
 Hurley, A. C. & Moodie, A. F. (1980). *The inversion of the three-beam intensities for scalar scattering by a general centrosymmetric crystal*. *Acta Cryst.* **A36**, 737–738.  
*International Tables for Crystallography* (2004). Vol. C. *Mathematical, Physical and Chemical Tables*, edited by E. Prince. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.  
 Kainuma, Y. (1968). *Averaged intensities in the many beam dynamical theory of electron diffraction. Part I*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **25**, 498–510.  
 Kambe, K. (1957). *Study of simultaneous reflection in electron diffraction by crystal*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **12**, 13–31.  
 Kogiso, M. & Takahashi, H. (1977). *Group-theoretical method in the many-beam theory of electron diffraction*. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **42**, 223–229.  
 Lontovitch, M. & Fock, R. (1946). *Solution of the problem of propagation of electromagnetic waves along the Earth's surface by the method of parabolic equation*. (Translated from Russian by J. Smorodinsky.) *J. Phys.* **10**, 13–24.