*International Tables for Crystallography* (2018). Vol. H, References, pp. 49–50.



2.1.7.3. *Position sensitivity and associated scanning modes*

2.1.7.3.1. *Pixel size, spatial resolution and angular resolution*

Detectors of the line (1D) or area (2D) type have the important property of position sensitivity, which is characterized by the two parameters pixel size and spatial resolution.

The pixel size of a position-sensitive detector (PSD) can be represented either by the intrinsic size of the smallest addressable sensitive component of a detector (*e.g.* the actual size of the diodes), which can be binned to form larger pixels, or is set by the readout electronics (*e.g.* for wire-based detectors such as proportional counters). The spatial resolution is determined by the actual pixel size, the point-spread function (PSF) and parallax. The PSF represents the spread of a signal produced by a single photon over several pixels by mapping the probability density that a photon is recorded by a pixel in the vicinity of the point that the photon hit. Parallax will lead to an additional smearing if the photon travels at an angle to the detector normal. The final angular resolution of a detector system is given by the spatial detector resolution and the specimen-to-detector distance.

Point (0D) detectors do not provide position sensitivity, regardless of the actual size of the active window (representing a single pixel). Simply speaking, in analogy to PSDs, the spatial resolution of a point detector is determined by the goniometer step size representing the actual pixel size, and the size of the detector slit representing the PSF. As for PSDs, the angular resolution is given by the spatial resolution and the specimen-to-detector distance.

Detectors can be operated in fixed as well as in ($2\theta$) scanning mode, where the step size is usually determined by the detector pixel size. Subsampling, that is scanning using an angular step size smaller than the angular pixel resolution, may be used to improve observed line profile shapes if the pixel resolution is too small. As a rule of thumb some 5–8 data points need be collected over the FWHM of a diffraction peak to allow for an appropriate description of the line-profile shape.

2.1.7.3.2. *Dimensionality*

Area detectors can be operated as line or point detectors. Electronic binning of the pixels into columns will form a line detector, while binning all pixels together will form a point detector, each associated with improvements of count rates and thus dynamic ranges. Alternatively, 1D or 0D ‘regions of interest’ can be defined electronically and/or by mounting suitable diffracted-beam-path X-ray optics. Area detectors – when operated as such – require point-focus operation.

Line detectors can be used as point detectors, which may be formed in several ways. One way is to only use one or more central pixels by either electronically switching off outer pixels and/or by mounting suitable X-ray optics. Another way is to turn the detector by 90° and to bin all pixels, leading to an improved count rate and thus dynamic range.

Obviously, when turning a line detector by 90°, it will function as an area detector if it is scanned over an angular range; the trace of the scan will form a cylindrical surface that is a two-dimensional diffraction image (He, 2009). This scan mode may be associated with a few advantages, in addition to lower costs. For example, the elimination of parallax and the possibility of using diffracted-beam-path optics improve the angular resolution in the $2\theta$ direction and allow air scattering to be reduced.

2.1.7.3.3. *Size and shape*

PSDs are available in different sizes with flat (1D, 2D), curved (1D), cylindrical (2D) and spherical (2D) detection surfaces. Curved, cylindrical and spherical detectors are designed for focusing or parallel-beam geometries with a fixed specimen-to-detector distance, and cannot normally be used with the Bragg–Brentano geometry because of its $2\theta$-dependent focusing circle (Section 2.1.4.1). Flat detectors can be used at different specimen-to-detector distances, with either high angular resolution at a large distance or large angular coverage at a short distance. For large flat detectors, parallax errors must be addressed. Small flat detectors are perfectly suited for operation in Bragg–Brentano geometry but the angular coverage should not exceed about 10° $2\theta$ (Section 2.1.4.1) to minimize defocusing, particularly at small $2\theta$ angles.

## References


Bartels, W. J. (1983). *Characterization of thin layers on perfect crystals with a multipurpose high resolution X-ray diffractometer*. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B*, **1**, 338–345.

Bilderback, D. H. (2003). *Review of capillary X-ray optics from the 2nd International Capillary Optics Meeting*. *X-ray Spectrom.* **32**, 195–207.

Bohlin, H. (1920). *Eine neue Anordnung für röntgenkristallographische Untersuchungen von Kristallpulver*. *Ann. Phys.* **366**, 421–439.

Bonse, U. & Hart, M. (1965). *Tailless X-ray single crystal reflection curves obtained by multiple reflection*. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **7**, 238–240.

Bowen, D. K. & Tanner, B. K. (1998). *High Resolution X-ray Diffractometry and Topography*. London: Taylor & Francis.

Brentano, J. C. M. (1924). *Focussing method of crystal powder analysis by X-rays*. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **37**, 184–193.

Charpak, G., Bouclier, R., Bressani, T., Favier, J. & Zupančič, Č. (1968). *The use of multiwire proportional counters to select and localize charged particles*. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods*, **62**, 262–268.

Clearfield, A., Reibenspiess, J. & Bhuvanesh, N. (2008). *Principles and Applications of Powder Diffraction*. New York: Wiley.

Debye, P. & Scherrer, P. (1916). *Interference of X-rays, employing amorphous substances*. *Phys. Z.* **17**, 277–283.

Durst, R. D., Diawara, Y., Khazins, D. M., Medved, S., Becker, B. L. & Thorson, T. A. (2003). *Novel, photon counting X-ray detectors*. *Powder Diffr.* **18**, 103–105.

EN 1330–11 (2007). *Non-Destructive Testing*. Part 11. *Terms used in X-ray Diffraction from Polycrystalline and Amorphous Materials*. Brussels: European Committee for Standardization (CEN).

Fankuchen, I. (1937). *A condensing monochromator for X-rays*. *Nature (London)*, **139**, 193–194.

Fewster, P. F. (2003). *X-ray Scattering from Semiconductors*. London: Imperial College Press.

Friedmann, H. (1945). *Geiger counter spectrometer for industrial research*. *Electronics*, **18**, 132–137.

Göbel, H. E. (1980). *The use and accuracy of continuously scanning position-sensitive detector data in X-ray powder diffraction*. *Adv. X-ray Anal.* **24**, 123–138.

Guinier, A. (1937). *Arrangement for obtaining intense diffraction diagrams of crystalline powders with monochromatic radiation*. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **204**, 1115–1116.

Hanawalt, J. D., Rinn, H. W. & Frevel, L. K. (1938). *Chemical analysis by X-ray diffraction*. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal.* **10**, 457–512.

Hart, M. (1971). *Bragg-reflection X-ray optics*. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **34**, 435–490.

He, B. B. (2009). *Two-Dimensional X-ray Diffraction*. New York: Wiley.

He, T., Durst, R. D., Becker, B. L., Kaercher, J. & Wachter, G. (2011). *A large area X-ray imager with online linearization and noise suppression*. *Proc. SPIE*, **8142**, 81421Q.

Hemberg, O. E., Otendal, M. & Hertz, H. M. (2003). *Liquid-metal-jet anode electron-impact X-ray source*. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 1483–1485.

Hull, A. W. (1917). *A new method of X-ray crystal analysis*. *Phys. Rev.* **10**, 661–696.

Hull, A. W. (1919). *A new method of chemical analysis*. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **41**, 1168–1175.

*International Tables for Crystallography* (2004). Volume C, 3rd ed., edited by E. Prince. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.


Copyright © 2018 International Union of Crystallography

Jenkins, R. & Snyder, B. (1996). *Introduction to X-ray Powder Diffractometry*. New York: Wiley.

Johann, H. H. (1931). *Die Erzeugung lichtstarker Röntgenspektren mit Hilfe von Konkavkristallen*. *Z. Phys.* **69**, 185–206.

Johannson, T. (1933). *Über ein neuartiges, genau fokussierendes Röntgenspektrometer*. *Z. Phys.* **82**, 507–528.

Khazins, D. M., Becker, B. L., Diawara, Y., Durst, R. D., He, B. B., Medved, S. A., Sedov, V. & Thorson, T. A. (2004). *A parallel-plate resistive-anode gaseous detector for X-ray imaging*. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **51**, 943–947.

Kirkpatrick, P. & Baez, A. V. (1948). *Formation of optical images by X-rays*. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **38**, 766–774.

Klug, H. P. & Alexander, L. E. (1974). *X-ray Diffraction Procedures for Polycrystalline and Amorphous Materials*, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley.

Kumakhov, M. A. & Komarov, F. F. (1990). *Multiple reflection from surface X-ray optics*. *Phys. Rep.* **191**, 289–350.

Le Galley, D. P. (1935). *A type of Geiger–Müller counter suitable for the measurement of diffracted X-rays*. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **6**, 279–283.

Lindemann, R. & Trost, A. (1940). *Das Interferenz-Zählrohr als Hilfsmittel der Feinstrukturforschung mit Röntgenstrahlen*. *Z. Phys.* **115**, 456–468.

Montel, M. (1957). *X-ray microscopy with catamegonic roof-shaped objective*. In *X-ray Microscopy and Microradiography*, pp. 177–185. New York: Academic Press.

Paganin, D. M. (2006). *Coherent X-ray Optics*. Oxford University Press.

Parrish, W. (1949). *X-ray powder diffraction analysis: film and Geiger counter techniques*. *Science*, **110**, 368–371.

Pecharsky, V. K. & Zavalij, P. Y. (2009). *Fundamentals of Powder Diffraction and Structural Characterisation of Materials*, 2nd ed. New York: Springer.

Peiser, M. A., Rooksby, H. P. & Wilson, A. J. C. (1955). *X-ray Diffraction by Polycrystalline Materials*. London: Institute of Physics.

Sauli, F. (1977). *Principle of operation of multi-wire proportional and drift chambers*. CERN 77–09, May 1977.

Schuster, M. & Göbel, H. (1995). *Parallel-beam coupling into channel-cut monochromators using curved graded multilayers*. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **28**, A270–A275.

Schuster, M. & Göbel, H. (1996). *Application of graded multilayer optics in X-ray diffraction*. *Adv. X-ray Anal.* **39**, 57–72.

Seemann, H. (1919). *Eine fokussierende röntgenspektroskopische Anordnung für Kristallpulver*. *Ann. Phys.* **364**, 455–464.

VDI/VDE Guideline 5575 Part 3 (2011). *X-ray Optical Systems: Capillary X-ray Lenses*. Berlin: Beuth.

VDI/VDE Guideline 5575 Part 4 (2011). *X-ray Optical Systems: X-ray Mirrors. Total Reflection Mirrors and Multilayer Mirrors*. Berlin: Beuth.

Wiacek, P., Dabrowski, W., Fink, J., Fiutowski, T., Krane, H.-G., Loyer, F., Schwamberger, A., Świentek, K. & Venanzi, C. (2015). *Position sensitive and energy dispersive X-ray detector based on silicon strip detector technology*. *J. Instrumen.* **10**, P04002.