

## 3. METHODOLOGY

**Table 3.10.3**RQPA for the crystalline organic mixtures measured with Cu  $K\alpha_1$  and Mo  $K\alpha_1$  radiations

Weighed amounts (wt%) are also shown for the sake of comparison. Absolute values of the Kullback–Liebler distance (AKLD) for each mixture and the KLD value for xylose are also included. Trm, transmission; rfl, reflection.

Phases	GFL_0.0X			GFL_0.25X			GFL_0.50X		
	wt%	Mo trm	Cu rfl	wt%	Mo trm	Cu rfl	wt%	Mo trm	Cu rfl
G	33.4	33.8 (1)	33.5 (3)	33.3	33.6 (1)	33.1 (2)	33.2	32.3 (2)	33.5 (2)
F	33.5	31.7 (1)	32.7 (3)	33.4	32.3 (1)	34.3 (2)	33.3	32.1 (2)	33.4 (2)
L	33.1	34.5 (1)	33.7 (3)	33.0	33.7 (1)	32.0 (2)	33.0	35.0 (3)	32.5 (2)
X	—	—	—	0.27	0.33 (4)	0.57 (9)	0.55	0.53 (8)	0.61 (9)
AKLD sum		0.0362	0.0150		0.0216	0.0231		0.0410	0.0096
(X) KLD		—	—		−0.001	−0.002		0.000	−0.001

Phases	GFL_1.0X			GFL_2.0X			GFL_4.0X		
	wt%	Mo trm	Cu rfl	wt%	Mo trm	Cu rfl	wt%	Mo trm	Cu rfl
G	33.0	34.7 (1)	33.6 (2)	32.7	32.2 (1)	31.5 (2)	32.0	32.8 (1)	33.6 (2)
F	33.1	32.6 (1)	33.7 (2)	32.8	31.7 (1)	34.4 (2)	32.2	30.7 (1)	32.5 (2)
L	32.8	31.6 (2)	31.4 (2)	32.5	34.3 (1)	32.0 (2)	31.8	32.9 (1)	30.5 (2)
X	1.1	1.10 (5)	1.3 (1)	2.0	1.76 (5)	2.1 (1)	3.9	3.70 (5)	3.4 (2)
AKLD sum		0.0338	0.0280		0.0363	0.0339		0.0361	0.0372
(X) KLD		0.000	−0.002		0.003	−0.001		0.002	0.005

**Table 3.10.4**Rietveld quantitative phase analyses of the CQZ\_xG1 mixture, where quartz (Q) is the internal standard, to derive amorphous content (am), obtained from SXRPD, Mo  $K\alpha_1$  and Cu  $K\alpha_1$  patterns

Absolute values of the Kullback–Liebler distance (AKLD) for each mixture and the KLD value for the amorphous content are also included. Trm, transmission; rfl, reflection.

Mixture	Weighed			Synchrotron trm				
	C wt%	Z wt%	Gl wt%	C wt%	Z wt%	Am wt%	AKLD sum	Am KLD
CZQ_0G1	50.01	49.99	0.00	49.9 (1)	49.6 (1)	0.4 (1)	0.0050	—
CZQ_2G1	48.98	48.96	2.05	49.7 (1)	49.0 (1)	1.3 (1)	0.0169	0.009
CZQ_4G1	47.93	47.91	4.17	47.9 (1)	47.6 (1)	4.5 (1)	0.0066	−0.003
CZQ_8G1	46.00	46.00	7.99	46.6 (1)	45.9 (1)	7.5 (1)	0.0120	0.005
CZQ_16G1	41.99	41.99	16.01	42.0 (1)	41.6 (1)	16.4 (1)	0.0079	−0.004
CZQ_32G1	34.00	34.00	31.99	34.0 (1)	33.7 (1)	32.3 (1)	0.0061	−0.003

Mixture	Mo $K\alpha_1$ trm					Cu $K\alpha_1$ rfl				
	C wt%	Z wt%	Am wt%	AKLD sum	Am KLD	C wt%	Z wt%	Am wt%	AKLD sum	Am KLD
CZQ_0G1	47.5 (1)	49.0 (1)	3.5 (1)	0.0358	—	47.2 (1)	40.8 (1)	12.0 (1)	0.1305	—
CZQ_2G1	45.9 (1)	47.7 (1)	6.4 (1)	0.0679	−0.023	47.4 (1)	40.6 (1)	12.0 (1)	0.1440	−0.036
CZQ_4G1	46.5 (1)	47.0 (1)	6.5 (1)	0.0422	−0.019	45.8 (1)	39.7 (1)	14.6 (1)	0.1641	−0.052
CZQ_8G1	42.6 (1)	44.8 (1)	12.5 (1)	0.0832	−0.036	45.3 (1)	38.1 (1)	16.6 (1)	0.1522	−0.058
CZQ_16G1	39.9 (1)	41.7 (1)	18.5 (1)	0.0475	−0.023	40.9 (1)	35.8 (1)	23.4 (1)	0.1388	−0.061
CZQ_32G1	31.7 (1)	33.1 (1)	35.2 (1)	0.0635	−0.031	32.2 (1)	28.7 (1)	39.1 (1)	0.1403	−0.064

tively. Slope values close to 1.0 mirror accurate analyses. Furthermore, the  $y$ -intercept values were 0.04 and 0.30 for Mo  $K\alpha_1$  and Cu  $K\alpha_1$  radiations, respectively. A  $y$ -intercept value close to 0.0 mirrors accurate analyses. Hence, it can be concluded that slightly more accurate analyses are obtained for Mo  $K\alpha_1$  powder diffraction in transmission when compared with Cu  $K\alpha_1$  powder diffraction in reflection for organic crystalline samples.

### 3.10.9. Increasing amorphous content series within an inorganic crystalline phase matrix

Fig. 3.10.8 shows Mo  $K\alpha_1$  (transmission), Cu  $K\alpha_1$  (reflection) and SXRPD (transmission) raw patterns for the mixtures with increasing amounts of glass. It is important to highlight that the increase in the background due to the glass is very modest even for ~32 wt% of glass. Table 3.10.4 shows the RQPA of these mixtures, prepared with C, Z and an increasing amount of Gl, for the three radiations. The glass-free sample may contain amor-

phous material from the employed phases. Hence, we used the SXRPD data to calculate a correction factor for quartz to yield zero amorphous content for the glass-free sample (León-Reina *et al.*, 2016).

The linear fit to the amorphous content values obtained using SXRPD was very good,  $R^2 = 0.998$ , with the slope being 1.00 within the errors (see Fig. 3.10.7c). This plot also shows the quantified amorphous contents, in weight percentage, as a function of the amount of added ground glass, measured with Mo  $K\alpha_1$  and Cu  $K\alpha_1$  radiations. Open symbols indicate the derived amorphous contents obtained with the internal-standard method in the mixture without any added glass, CZQ\_0G1. Both  $R^2$  values are quite close to 1.00, showing the consistency of the internal-standard methodology. However, the slope values were 0.98 and 0.89 for Mo  $K\alpha_1$  and Cu  $K\alpha_1$  radiations, respectively. Furthermore, the  $y$ -intercept values were 3.7 and 10.0 for Mo  $K\alpha_1$  and Cu  $K\alpha_1$  radiations, respectively. Again, slope values close to 1.0 and  $y$  intercepts close to 0.0 mirror accurate analyses. It must also