

## 3.8. DATA CLUSTERING AND VISUALIZATION

non-zero eigenvalues a set of coordinates can be defined *via* the matrix  $\mathbf{X}(n \times p)$ ,

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}, \quad (3.8.16)$$

where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is the vector of eigenvalues.

If  $p = 3$ , then we are working in three dimensions, and the  $\mathbf{X}(n \times 3)$  matrix can be used to plot each pattern as a single point in a 3D graph. This assumes that the dimensionality of the problem can be reduced in this way while still retaining the essential features of the data. As a check, a distance matrix  $\mathbf{d}^{\text{calc}}$  can be calculated from  $\mathbf{X}(n \times 3)$  and correlated with the observed matrix  $\mathbf{d}$  using both the Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients. In general the MMDS method works well, and correlation coefficients greater than 0.95 are common. For large data sets this can reduce to  $\sim 0.7$ , which is still sufficiently high to suggest the viability of the procedure. Parallel coordinates based on the MMDS analysis can also be used, and this is discussed in Sections 3.8.4.2.1 and 3.8.4.2.2.

There are occasions in which the underlying dimensionality of the data is 1 or 2, and in these circumstances the data project onto a plane or a line in an obvious way without any problems.

An example of an MMDS plot is shown in Fig. 3.8.6(b), which is linked to the dendrogram in Fig. 3.8.6(a).

## 3.8.3.4. Principal-component analysis

It is also possible to carry out principal-component analysis (PCA) on the correlation matrix. The eigenvalues of the correlation matrix can be used to estimate the number of clusters present *via* a scree plot, as shown in Fig. 3.8.2(a), and the eigenvectors can be used to generate a score plot, which is an  $\mathbf{X}(n \times 3)$  matrix and can be used as a visualization tool in exactly the same way as the MMDS method to indicate which patterns belong to which class. Score plots traditionally use two components with the data thus projected on to a plane; we use 3D plots in which three components are represented. In general, we find that the MMDS representation of the data is nearly always superior to the PCA analysis for powder and spectroscopic data.

## 3.8.3.5. Choice of clustering method

It is possible to use the MMDS plot (or, alternatively, PCA score plots) to assist in the choice of clustering method, since the two methods operate semi-independently. The philosophy here is to choose a technique that results in the tightest, most isolated clusters as follows:

- (1) The MMDS formalism is used to derive a set of three-dimensional coordinates stored in matrix  $\mathbf{X}(n \times 3)$ .
- (2) The number of clusters,  $c$ , is estimated as described in Section 3.8.3.2.
- (3) Each of six dendrogram methods (see Table 3.8.1) is employed in turn, stopping when  $c$  clusters have been generated. Each entry in  $\mathbf{X}$  can now be assigned to a cluster.
- (4) A sphere is drawn around each point in  $\mathbf{X}$  and the average between-cluster overlap of the spheres is calculated for each of the  $N$  clusters  $C_1$  to  $C_N$ . If the total number of overlaps is  $m$ , this can be written as

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1, n \\ j \neq i}}^n \left( \int_V s_{i \in C_i} s_{j \in C_j} ds \right) / m. \quad (3.8.17)$$

If the clusters are well defined then  $S$  should be a minimum. Conversely, poorly defined clusters will tend to have large values of  $S$ . In the algorithm used in *PolySNAP* (Barr, Dong

& Gilmore, 2009) and *DIFFRAC.EVA* (Bruker, 2018), the sphere size depends on the number of diffraction patterns.

- (5) The tightness of each cluster is also estimated by computing the mean within-cluster distance. This should also be a minimum for well defined, tight clusters.
- (6) The mean within-cluster distance from the centroid of the cluster can also be computed, which should also be a minimum.
- (7) Steps (4)–(6) are repeated using coordinates derived from PCA 3D score plots.
- (8) Tests (4)–(7) are combined in a weighted, suitably scaled mean to give an overall figure of merit (FOM); the minimum is used to select which dendrogram method to use (Barr *et al.*, 2004b).

## 3.8.3.6. The most representative sample

Similar techniques can be used to identify the most representative sample in a cluster. This is defined as the sample that has the minimum mean distance from every other sample in the clusters, *i.e.* for cluster  $J$  containing  $m$  patterns, the most representative sample,  $i$ , is defined as that which gives

$$\min \left( \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i, j \in J}}^m d(i, j) / m \right). \quad (3.8.18)$$

The most representative sample is useful in visualization and can, with care, be used to create a database of known phases (Barr *et al.*, 2004b).

## 3.8.3.7. Amorphous samples

Amorphous samples are an inevitable consequence of high-throughput experiments, and need to be handled correctly if they are not to lead to erroneous indications of clustering. To identify amorphous samples the total background for each pattern is estimated and its intensity integrated; the integrated intensity of the non-background signal is then calculated. If the ratio falls below a preset limit (usually 5%, but this may vary with the type of samples under study) the sample is treated as amorphous. The distance matrix is then modified so that each amorphous sample is given a distance and dissimilarity of 1.0 from every other sample, and a correlation coefficient of zero. This automatically excludes the samples from the clustering until the last amalgamation steps, and also limits their effect on the estimation of the number of clusters (Barr *et al.*, 2004b). Of course, the question of amorphous samples is not a binary (yes/no) one: there are usually varying degrees of amorphous content, which further complicates matters.

## 3.8.4. Data visualization

## 3.8.4.1. Primary data visualization

It is important when dealing with large data sets to have suitable visualization tools. These tools are also a valuable resource for exploring smaller data sets. This methodology provides four primary aids:

- (1) A pie chart is produced for each sample, corresponding to the sample wells used in the data-collection process, in which each well is given a colour as defined by the dendrogram. If mixtures of known phases are detected, the pie charts give the relative proportions of the pure samples as estimated by quantitative analysis (see Section 3.8.7).